Pavement Design Report US 6 Bridge over Garrison Street Lakewood, Colorado CDOT Project No. FBR 0063-046 (19478) RockSol Project No. 321.01 April 7, 2014



Prepared for: Colorado Dept. of Transportation, Region 1



Prepared by:

RockSol Consulting Group, Inc.

Sub-consultant to:



9193 South Jamaica Street Englewood, Colorado 80112



April 7, 2014

CH2M HILL 9193 South Jamaica Street Englewood, Colorado 80112

Attention: Mr. Aaron Swafford, P.E.

Subject: Pavement Design Report, US 6 over Garrison Street Bridge Replacement Project, Lakewood, Colorado, Colorado Department of Transportation Project No. FBR 0063-046 (19478), RockSol Project Number 321.01

Dear Mr. Swafford:

RockSol Consulting Group, Inc. (RockSol) has performed a geotechnical investigation for the US 6 over Garrison Street Bridge Replacement Project.

This Pavement Design Report presents a brief discussion of the subsurface conditions encountered, a summary of the lab testing performed on recovered soil and bedrock samples, and pavement design recommendations to assist with design of pavements for the subject project.

Surface and groundwater hydrology, hydraulic engineering, and environmental studies including contaminant characterization were not included in RockSol's scope of work.

#### Project Description

The existing three-span bridge structure, identified as the US 6 over Garrison Bridge (Structure No. F-16-ER) is proposed to be replaced by a new single-span bridge over Garrison Street. The new structure may be slightly wider to accommodate wider shoulders within US 6 and lengthened to an approximate span length of 85 feet to allow for new 8-foot wide sidewalks and 4-foot wide bike lanes along northbound and southbound Garrison Street. Planned improvements will also include correcting the vertical curve deficiency on US 6 by raising the grade for US 6 to the east and west of Garrison Street and lowering the bridge over Garrison Street. The existing connection ramp configurations and tie in grades to US 6 are proposed to generally remain the same. The grade of the eastbound US 6 off-ramp to Garrison Street will be raised slightly for a portion of its length.

Proposed construction phasing will include the construction of a temporary bridge over Garrison Street to the south of the existing bridge structure and the construction of temporary retaining wall systems at the southwest and southeast quadrants of the overpass to allow westbound (WB) traffic to shift into the existing eastbound (EB) US 6 lanes while the WB bridge section is removed and replaced. During bridge construction the EB US 6 traffic will be shifted to the temporary bridge alignment. New pavement construction will be required for EB and WB US 6 within the project limits. Temporary (detour) pavement will also be required for EB US 6 as part of the construction phasing.

#### Project Site Conditions

The existing US 6 bridge over Garrison Street is a three span structure consisting of continuous welded girder center spans supported by two sets of six-concrete column piers. The existing bridge carries three lanes of traffic in each direction over Garrison Street and is approximately



90 feet in width. US 6 is presently surfaced with flexible pavement. The existing US 6 approach embankments (fill placement) are approximately 20 feet in height at the bridge abutments. Concrete slope paving (approximate 2H:1V slope) is present at each abutment with embankment side slopes of approximately 3H:1V to 4H:1V.

A mix of commercial and residential development borders the project area. Topography at the site generally consists of flat to mild slopes with a general trend of decreasing elevation to the north and east.

#### Subsurface Investigation

In August and September 2013, RockSol drilled 13 boreholes to evaluate the subsurface conditions for the US 6 over Garrison Bridge Replacement project. The borehole locations are identified as BR-1 through BR-6, RW-1 through RW-5 and PV-1 through PV-2, as shown on Figure 2, Borehole Location Plan. Boreholes BR-1 through BR-6 were drilled at the approximate location of the proposed bridge structure, Boreholes RW-1 through RW-5 were drilled to assist with retaining wall foundation recommendations, and Boreholes PV-1 and PV-2 were drilled to assist with pavement thickness recommendations. The boreholes were located by field survey provided by the project surveyor (HKS). Horizontal and vertical locations were then provided to RockSol for inclusion on the Borehole Location Plan and on the borehole logs. Pavement cores were obtained at Boreholes BR-1, BR-2, BR-5, RW-1, RW-2, RW-4, PV-1 and PV-2.

Truck mounted CME-45 and CME-55 drill rigs were used for drilling and sampling. The boreholes were advanced using 4-inch outside diameter solid stem augers and 8 inch outside diameter hollow stem augers to maximum depths ranging from approximately 10 feet to 80 feet below existing grades. The boreholes were logged in the field by a representative of RockSol with the depth to groundwater noted at the time of drilling. A monitoring well was drilled and installed near Borehole BR-4 for the project environmental team (Pinyon Environmental). Except for the monitoring well, the boreholes were backfilled at the completion of drilling and groundwater level checks. Boreholes drilled within existing pavement were patched with concrete and/or asphalt patch mixes.

Subsurface materials were sampled and resistance of the soil to penetration of the sampler was performed using modified California barrel and standard split spoon samplers. The modified California barrel sampler has an outside diameter of approximately 2.5 inches and an inside diameter of 2 inches. The standard split spoon sampler used had an outside diameter of 2 inches and an inside diameter of 1%-inches. Brass tube liners are used with the modified California barrel sampler to retain samples for density, swell, and unconfined compressive strength testing. Sample retaining liners are not used with the standard split spoon sampler.

Penetration Tests were performed at selected intervals using both a standard rope-cathead lift system and an automatic lift system. Both hammer lift systems used a hammer weighing 140 pounds and falling 30 inches. The standard split spoon sampling method is the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) described by ASTM Method D-1586. Penetration Tests were performed using the modified California barrel sampler with a standard hammer weighing 140 pounds falling 30 inches per ASTM D3550. The modified California Barrel sampling method is similar to the SPT test with the difference being the sampler dimensions and the number of 6-inch intervals driven with the hammer. Correlation of blow counts obtained from a modified California sampler to blow counts obtained from a standard split spoon sampler is not available. However, it is RockSol's experience that blow counts obtained with the modified California sampler tend to be slightly greater than a standard split spoon sampler. Penetration resistance



values (blow counts) were recorded for each sampling event. Blow counts, when properly evaluated, indicate the relative density or consistency of the soils. Depths at which the samples were taken, the type of sampler used, and the blow counts that were obtained are shown on the Boring Logs for each borehole. Individual Borehole Logs are included in Appendix A. Engineering Geology Sheets for the project are included in Figures 2A through 2D.

#### Subsurface Conditions

#### Roadway Pavement

Flexible pavement (asphalt) was encountered at the ground surface at eight borehole locations. Where flexible roadway pavement was encountered on US 6, the thickness generally ranged from 6.0 inches to 9.5 inches. At Boreholes BR-1, BR-2, BR-5, PV-1, and RW-2 approximately 4.0 inches to 8.5 inches of flexible asphalt pavement was noted overlying 7.5 inches to 10.5 inches of rigid pavement. Aggregate base course material was not noted below the pavement sections. A summary of the pavement section thicknesses encountered is presented in Table 1.

Borehole	Location	HMA Thickness (inches)	PCCP Thickness (inches)	Total Pavement Thickness (inches)
BR-1	WB US 6 Shoulder	5	10¼	15¼
BR-2	EB US 6 Lane 1	71⁄2	91⁄2	17
BR-5	EB US 6 Lane 1	11½ (total) [2 distinct layers encountered]	81⁄2	20
PV-1	WB US 6 Shoulder	7¼	7½	14¾
PV-2	EB US 6 Shoulder	71⁄2	Not Encountered	71⁄2
RW-1	WB US 6 Shoulder	9¼	Not Encountered	9¼
RW-2	WB US 6 Shoulder	4	71⁄2	11½
RW-4	EB US 6 Shoulder	7¾	Not Encountered	7¾
RW-5	US 6 Frontage Road (SE)	6	Not Encountered	6

The pavement section noted at Borehole RW-5 is based on field measurements made by RockSol during drilling operations. A pavement core was not recovered at Borehole RW-5. The pavement core recovered at Borehole BR-5 included a layer of asphalt pavement, 8¼ inches in thickness, over 8½ inches rigid pavement, which was over a layer of asphalt pavement approximately 3¼ inches in thickness. A summary of the recovered pavement cores is presented in Appendix B, Pavement Core Log Summary. Included in the core log summary are photographs of the recovered core sections and RockSol's general assessment of the condition of each core.

#### <u>Topsoil</u>

Topsoil was encountered at the ground surface at four borehole locations. The topsoil encountered was lightly organic sandy silt which supported a sparse covering of grasses and weeds. A topsoil thickness of approximately 3 inches to 6 inches was estimated based on field observations.



#### Fill Material

Beneath the pavement and topsoil, subsurface conditions encountered generally consisted of fill material to approximate depths ranging from 3 feet to 24 feet below existing grades and appears to be associated with the roadway embankment for US 6 over Garrison and the entrance and exit ramps for US 6. Fill material was not noted in Borehole BR-3. The fill material encountered generally consisted of medium stiff to very stiff sandy clay with gravel in parts. In Boreholes BR-2, BR-6, PV-1, and PV-2, fill material consisting of silty to clayey sand with gravel was encountered. Based on laboratory test results, the fill material encountered predominantly classified as A-6 soils by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) soil classification system. A-7-6 soils were also encountered. A summary of laboratory test results with soil classifications is presented in Appendix C.

#### Native Soils

Native soils encountered below the fill material or ground surface included stiff to hard sandy clay and medium dense to dense silty to clayey sand with gravel in parts. Sandy silt and gravelly sand were encountered at depths greater than 15 feet.

#### Bedrock

Sedimentary bedrock was encountered beneath the native soils in Boreholes BR-1 through BR-6 and RW-5 at elevations ranging from 5,455 feet to 5,461 feet during drilling operations. The bedrock generally consisted of very hard claystone. Very hard clayey sandstone and siltstone bedrock layers were also noted in Boreholes BR-1 through BR-6. Bedrock was not noted to the maximum depths drilled (approximately 10 feet to 50 feet) at Boreholes PV-1, PV-2 and RW-1 through RW-4.

#### **Groundwater**

Groundwater was encountered in 11 boreholes at elevations ranging from 5,479 feet to 5,493 feet (approximate depths ranging from 14 feet to 37 feet below existing grades) during drilling operations. Groundwater was not encountered to the maximum depths drilled (approximately 10 feet below existing grades) at Boreholes PV-1 and PV-2.

A summary of the bedrock and groundwater elevations encountered is presented in Table 2. The approximate groundwater and bedrock elevations are rounded to the nearest one-half foot and are based on the depth to groundwater and bedrock noted during drilling and sampling operations and the ground surface elevations provided by the project surveyor.

Based on the groundwater elevations presented in Table 2, there appears to be a decreasing gradient predominately to the east. Based on the bedrock elevations presented in Table 2, the bedrock surface elevation appears to be decreasing in the northeast direction.



Borehole	Ground Elevation (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)	Bedrock Elevation (feet) Note 1			
BR-1	5,520.8	5,487	5,459			
BR-2	5,521.3	5,486	5,458			
BR-3	5,501.2	5,485	5,458			
BR-4	5497.8	5,483.5	5,455			
BR-5	5,520.4	5,483	5,455			
BR-6	5,501.1	5,483	5,460			
RW-1	5,514.0	5,493	Not Encountered			
RW-2	5,518.9	5,490	Not Encountered			
RW-3	5,504.8	5,491	Not Encountered			
RW-4	5,516.4	5,479	Not Encountered			
RW-5	5,499.5	5,479.5	5,461			

#### Table 2 – Approximate Groundwater and Bedrock Elevations

#### Expansive Soil Discussion

Swell potential in the subgrade soils obtained within the upper 5 feet below existing and proposed pavement grades ranged from 0.0 percent (swell) to 1.8 percent (swell), when tested with a 200 pound per square foot (psf) surcharge, with the average swell less than 1 percent.

Swell potentials ranging from -1.0 percent (consolidation) to 3.0 percent (swell) were obtained in subgrade soils deeper than 5 feet in the boreholes used for pavement recommendations and in Boreholes in areas where no new pavement is anticipated. For pavement recommendations swell potentials from tests in the upper 5 feet below existing and proposed pavement grades where new pavement is anticipated were used.

Based on the swell test data, the pavement subgrade soils appear to possess a low swell potential and low consolidation potential. Special earthwork requirements for mitigation of expansive soils are not considered necessary for this project. New embankment material placed for this project shall meet requirements of Section 203 (Excavation and Embankment) of the CDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, dated 2011, with a minimum R-Value of 5 and a swell percentage less than 1 percent when tested with a 200-psf surcharge.

A summary of laboratory test results is presented in Appendix C.

#### Sulfate Exposure Category

Cementitious material requirements for concrete in contact with site soils or groundwater are based on the percentage of water soluble sulfate in either soil or groundwater that will be in contact with concrete constructed for this project. Mix design requirements for concrete exposed to water soluble sulfates in soils or water is considered by Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) as shown in Table 3 and in the Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, dated 2011 (CDOT Table 601-2).



#### Table 3 **Requirements to Protect Against Damage to Concrete** by Sulfate Attack from External Sources of Sulfate

Severity of sulfate exposure	Water-soluble sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> ), in dry soil, percent	Sulfate (SO₄), in water, ppm	Water Cementitious Ratio, maximum	Cementitious Material Requirements
Class 0	0.00 to 0.10	0 to 150	0.45	Class 0
Class 1	0.11 to 0.20	151 to 1,500	0.45	Class 1
Class 2	0.21 to 2.0	1,500 to 10,000	0.45	Class 2
Class 3	2.01 or greater	10,001 or greater	0.40	Class 3

The concentration of water soluble sulfates measured in 21 soil samples obtained from RockSol's exploratory boreholes was less than 0.1 percent by weight. Based on the results of the water soluble sulfate testing, Exposure Class 0 is considered appropriate for concrete in contact with subgrade materials for the project.

#### Subgrade Support Testing

R-Value tests were performed on a sample of A-7-6 soil from Borehole PV-1 and on a sample of A-6 soil from Borehole PV-2. A summary of the R-Value test results is shown in Table 4. All samples tested were obtained within the upper 10 feet of the existing ground surface.

Subgrade Soil R-Value Test Summary							
Borehole	Approximate Location	AASHTO Classification	R-Value				
PV-1	WB US 6, Station 104+30, Lane 3	A-7-6 (8)	5				
PV-2	EB US 6, Station 118+45, Outside Shoulder	A-6 (19)	4				

Table 4

Based on the results of the R-Value testing and subgrade soil classification testing, RockSol considers a subgrade support R-Value of 5 appropriate for pavement design purposes.

#### Pavement Thickness Recommendations (New Construction – 20/30 Year Design Life)

18 Kip equivalent single axle loads (ESALs) for US 6 within the project limits and US 6 Eastbound off-ramp at Garrison Street were provided to RockSol by CH2M HILL. The average daily traffic for mainline US 6 was taken from the CDOT OTIS system and included 2012 (current) data, forecast data for the year 2035, and data for the year 2045 estimated by linear extrapolation. Percent trucks accounted for approximately 3.1 percent to 3.2 percent of the traffic volume with single unit trucks accounting for approximately 1.7 percent to 1.9 percent and combination trucks accounting for approximately 1.4 percent and 1.3 percent respectively. A summary of the traffic data used to develop the design life ESAL's for this project is included in Appendix D.

Design life ESAL's are based on a project completion year of 2015. For new construction a design life of twenty years was used for flexible pavement. A design life of thirty years was used for rigid pavement.

Pavement thicknesses were calculated using the AASHTO Pavement Design and Analysis System (DARWin) and the NCHRP rigid pavement design supplemental spreadsheet software, based on the 1998 AASHTO Supplemental Guide for rigid pavement. Structural coefficients of 0.15 and 0.44 were used for CDOT Class 6 aggregate base course and HMA, respectively, when developing flexible pavement thickness recommendations. Elastic modulus values of 25,000 psi and 3,400,000 psi were used for CDOT Class 6 aggregate base course and PCC,



respectively, when developing rigid pavement thickness recommendations. A Reliability Level of 95 percent was used.

All permanent (20/30 year design life) pavement thicknesses presented are to be placed on top of 6 inches of CDOT Class 6 Aggregate Base Course (ABC) since the design life ESAL values are greater than 500,000.

Pavement thickness recommendations for mainline US 6 and the Eastbound US 6 off-ramp at Garrison Street are presented in Table 5A.

Roadway	Pavement	Design Life	Structural Number	Design Lane	Recommended Pavement Thickness (Note 1)
	туре	(years)	(in)	TOK ESALS	Subgrade R-Value = 5
	Floviblo	20	6 1 2	5 000 000	12.0 inches HMA over
West of Carrison	Flexible	20	0.13	5,900,000	6.0 inches ABC
Street	Pigid	30	_	13 500 000	11.0 inches PCC over
Sileet	Rigiu	30	-	13,300,000	6.0 inches ABC
	Floviblo	20	6.06	5 400 000	12.0 inches HMA over
US 6 East of Corrison	Flexible	20	0.00	3,400,000	6.0 inches ABC
Street	Digid	20		12 400 000	10.5 inches PCC over
Sileet	Rigiu	30	-	12,400,000	6.0 inches ABC
	Floviblo	20	5 1 1	1 500 000	10.0 inches HMA over
Eastbound Off-Ramp at Garrison Street	Flexible	20	5.11	1,500,000	6.0 inches ABC
	Pigid	30	-	3 300 000	9.0 inches PCC over
	Rigiu	30		3,300,000	6.0 inches ABC

Table 5A – Pavement Thickness Recommendations (New Construction)

Note 1) HMA = Hot Mix Asphalt, ABC = Aggregate Base Course, PCC = Portland Cement Concrete

The recommended flexible pavement thickness values presented in Table 5A are rounded up to the nearest ½-inch, per CDOT methodology. Recommended pavement thickness values for rigid pavement shown in Table 5A include a ¼-inch thickness added to the calculated thickness and then rounded up to the nearest ½-inch, per CDOT methodology. Pavement thickness calculation sheets for the pavement sections shown in Table 5A are included in Appendix E.

All flexible pavements will be Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) using CDOT approved mix designs. RockSol recommends using Grade SX or SMA mix for the surface layer and Grade S mix for the lower (intermediate and base) layers. A gyratory design revolution (Ndes) of 100 is recommended. Performance Grade Binder of PG 76-28 is recommended for the surface layer (Grade SX or SMA mix). Performance Grade Binder of PG 64-22 is recommended for the intermediate and base layers (Grade S mix). A summary of the recommended pavement lift sections is presented in Tables 5B and 5C. Pavement design parameter sheets and LTPPBind output sheets summarizing the PG binder selection are included in Appendix F.

Table 5B – Recommended Flexible Pavement Lift Summar	у (	(US 6	6 EB a	and WB	<b>;)</b>
--	-----	-------	--------	--------	-----------

Lift Description	Lift Thickness (inches)	Grading	Binder
Top Lift	2	SX or SMA	PG 76-28
Intermediate Lift 3	2.25	S	PG 64-22
Intermediate Lift 2	2.25	S	PG 64-22
Intermediate Lift 1	2.5	S	PG 64-22
Bottom Lift	3.0	S	PG 64-22



Lift Description	Lift Thickness (inches)	Grading	Binder				
Top Lift	2	SX or SMA	PG 76-28				
Intermediate Lift 2	2.5	S	PG 64-22				
Intermediate Lift 1	2.5	S	PG 64-22				
Bottom Lift	3.0	S	PG 64-22				

#### Table 5C – Recommended Flexible Pavement Lift Summary (US 6 EB Off-Ramp)

The contractor may choose alternative layer thicknesses to those shown in Tables 5B and 5C, however, the layer thicknesses must conform to the minimum and maximum layer thickness requirements presented in Table 3.7 of the 2014 CDOT Pavement Design Manual, or the Manual designated at the time of bidding.

#### US 6 Detour Pavement Section Thickness Recommendations

Temporary detours will be required for Eastbound US 6 traffic while the bridge structure over Garrison is constructed. RockSol understands that the detours may be required for 6 months to 18 months and that flexible pavement will be used. ESAL values for 6, 9, 12, and 18 month detours were used to determine required pavement thicknesses for those time frames, based on 2012 ADT data obtained for US 6 from the CDOT OTIS site and projected to the year 2015. The 2012 ADT values for the section of US 6 within the project limits were 100,000 vehicles per day (both directions with 1.7 percent single unit trucks and 1.4 percent combination trucks.

Detour pavements were calculated utilizing a subgrade with an effective R-value of 5 for the existing condition. A summary of the recommended flexible pavement sections for detours of 6, 9, 12, and 18 months are presented in Table 6.

	Pavement	Design	Design	Structural	Minimum Pavement
Roadway	Type	Life	Lane	Number	I hickness (Note 1)
	туре	(months)	18k ESALs	(in)	Subgrade R-Value = 5
		6	400.000		6.5 inches HMA
		0	160,000		over 6.0 inches ABC
		0	250,000		7 inches HMA
		9	250,000		over 6.0 inches ABC
	12     Flexible     6	12	225.000		7.5 inches HMA
			323,000		over 6.0 inches ABC
Tomporary Dovement		18	19 495 000		8 inches HMA
			18 483,000		over 6.0 inches ABC
03 0 EB Lalles		6	160.000	2 71	7.25 inches HMA
		0	100,000	3.71	over 4.0 inches ABC
		0	250,000	3.06	7.75 inches HMA
		3	230,000	5.90	over 4.0 inches ABC
		12	12 325,000	1 1 2	8.0 inches HMA
		12		4.12	over 4.0 inches ABC
		18	495.000	1 37	8.75 inches HMA
		10	405,000	4.37	over 4.0 inches ABC

#### Table 6 – Minimum Detour Pavement Thickness

Note 1) HMA = Hot Mix Asphalt, ABC = Aggregate Base Course, PCC = Portland Cement Concrete



Pavement thickness calculation sheets for the detour pavement sections shown in Table 6 are presented in Appendix E. Pavement design parameter sheets for the detour pavement sections are included in Appendix F.

#### Subgrade Preparation (New Pavement)

For all new pavement areas, proof rolling with pneumatic tire equipment shall be performed using a minimum axle load of 18 kips per axle after specified subgrade compaction has been obtained. Areas found to be weak and those areas which exhibit soft spots, non-uniform deflection or excessive deflection as determined by the project engineer shall be ripped, scarified, wetted or dried if necessary, and re-compacted to the requirements for density and moisture. Complete coverage of the proof roller will be required.

All pavement subgrade preparation, pavement materials, and pavement construction shall conform to CDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (2011). At a minimum, subgrade moisture conditioning and compaction should meet the compaction specifications outlined in Table 7.

AASHTO Classification	Minimum Relative Compaction (Percentage of MDD), %	Moisture Content (Deviation from OMC)
A-1, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-3,	95% of AASHTO T99	-2 to +2
A-2-6, A-2-7, A-4, A-5 A-6 and A-7	95% of AASHTO T99	0 to +3

#### Table 7 – Compaction Specifications

Based on swell test data, it is RockSol's opinion that moisture conditioning to a depth of 6 inches is appropriate for this project.



#### Limitations

This geotechnical investigation was conducted in general accordance with the scope of work. This report has been prepared for use by CH2M HILL and the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) exclusively for the project described in this report. The report is based on information provided by CDOT, RockSol's observations, and exploratory boreholes and does not take into account variations in the subsurface conditions that may exist between boreholes. Additional investigation is required to address such variation. The nature and extent of subsurface variations across the project site may not become evident until the construction phase of the project and when excavations are performed.

The conclusions and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the boreholes drilled at the locations indicated on the boring location sheets and our understanding of the proposed type of construction. If the proposed construction is different than described in this report, RockSol should be notified to re-evaluate, or supplement, the recommendations contained in this report. RockSol is not responsible for liability associated with interpretation of subsurface data by others.

Prepared by RockSol Consulting Group, Inc.:

Jacob Biller, P.E. Geotechnical Engineer

Attachments:

Figure 1 - Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 - Borehole Location Plan Figures 2A – 2D: Engineering Geology Sheets

Appendix A – Legend and Individual Borehole Logs

Appendix B – Pavement Core Log Summary

Appendix C – Laboratory Test Results

Appendix D – Equivalent Single Axle Load Calculations (From CH2M HILL)

Appendix E – Flexible and Rigid Pavement Calculation Sheets (DARWin/AASHTO 98)

Appendix F – Pavement Design Parameter Sheets (New Construction and Detour) and LTPPBind PG Binder Selection Reports







US 6 OVER GA	RRISON S	Project No./Code					
DUNEHULE LU	CATIO						
R. LEPRO	Structure		19478				
S. MCKANNA-KOON	Numbers						
ubset:	Subset Sh	eets: of	Figure 2				

IN PROGRESS WORK



S Ш Ľ C Ο ്പ Δ  $\leq$ ORK





					⊑5	440	
L				LEC	GEND	]	
		TEST	BORING				
oroto			11/12	в	Bridge Borehole		
crete			6/12	Ť	Ground Water Level At Time of Drilling		ഗ
SAND				9/12	9 Blows for 12 Inche	s	ഗ
ve - SAND, silty			28/12	50/3	50 Blows for 3 Inche	s	[1]
ve - SAND, clayey		¥	8/6/7 55	<u>8/6/7</u> SS	Split Spoon Sampler		<u> </u> 22
vo - CLAV sandv					Required 8 Blows to Required 6 Blows fo	r 6 Inches	0
ve - olar, sandy			1. 50/6 X X X X		Required 7 Blows fo	r 6 Inches	
rock - CLAYSTONE		Ē	<u>×</u> <u>50/8</u>				[Ľ
rock - SANDSTONE		BLOW CO			D AT THE TOP OF LOG		10
		WI	TH "SS". ALL OT MODIFIED	HER BLC	OW COUNTS OBTAINED W	VITHA	
OF MATERIAL ENCOUNTERED			SEE INDIVIDU	AL LOG S	SHEETS FOR MORE DETA	AL.	ΙZ
US 6 OVER GA	RRISONS	TREET	,	P	roject No.,	/Code	
ENGINEERIN	IG GEL	JLUGY			<b>,</b>		
							꽃
J. Biller	Structure				19478		1년
S. McKanna-Koon	Numbers				19470		١۲
oset:	Subset Sh	eets:	of	Fi	gure	2B	>

Ń אטקאף Z Y N N >





		IESIE	SORING			
			11/12	В	Bridge Borehole	
rete			B112	¥	Ground Water Level	10
SAND				9/12	9 Blows for 12 Inches	10
re - SAND, silty			28/12	50/3	50 Blows for 3 Inches	L
ve - SAND, clayey		¥	8/6/7 SS	<u>8/6/7</u> SS	Split Spoon Sampler Required 8 Blows for 6 Inches	ļ
re - CLAY, sandy			50/6		Required 6 Blows for 6 Inches Required 7 Blows for 6 Inches	
ock - CLAYSTONE		MXX				
ock - SANDSTONE		BLOW COL	BORING I	O NOTED	O AT THE TOP OF LOG SPLIT SPOON SAMPLERS ARE NOTED	<b>[</b> ]
F MATERIAL ENCOUNTERED		WIT	H "SS". ALL OTI MODIFIED ( SEE INDIVIDUA	HER BLC CALIFOR IL LOG S	W COUNTS OBTAINED WITH A NIA BARREL SAMPLER HEETS FOR MORE DETAIL	]-
US 6 OVER GA	RRISON S			Ρ	roject No./Code	]F
		2001				
J. Biller	Structure				19478	12
S. McKanna-Koon	Numbers					12
set:	Subset Sh	eets:	of	T Fi	gure 2C	12

LEGEND

S KUGKES L Z M U KK



S Ш Ľ C Ο ്പ Δ  $\leq$ ORK



### **APPENDIX A**

LEGEND AND INDIVIDUAL BOREHOLE LOGS



- Native SILT, sandy
- **Bedrock Interbedded** X X X X SILTSTONE/CLAYSTONE
- **Bedrock - CLAYSTONE Bedrock - SANDSTONE**

## SAMPLE TYPE

MODIFIED CALIFORNIA SAMPLER 2.5" O.D. AND 2" I.D. WITH BRASS LINERS INCLUDED

SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER 2" O.D. AND 1 3/8" I.D. NO LINERS

15/12 Indicates 15 blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches was required to drive the sampler 12 inches.

50/11 Indicates 50 blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches was required to drive the sampler 11 inches.

5,5,5 Indicates 5 blows, 5 blows, 5 blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches was required to drive the sampler 18 inches.

GROUND WATER LEVEL NOTED AT THE TIME OF DRILLING

		Ro	<u>ckSol</u>						BC	RI	NG : PAG	: <b>BR</b> E 1 C	<b>R-1</b> DF 3
CLIEN	<b>π</b> _C⊦	Coi 12M HIL	nsulting Group, Inc.	ROJECT NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		UMBER	<u>321.01</u> F	ROJECT LOCA	TION Lake	ewood,	CO						
DATE	STAR	<b>TED</b> 9	/17/13 COMPLETED 9/17/13 G	GROUND ELEV	ATION _552	0.8 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ONTRA	CTOR _ Dakota Drilling N	IORTH <u>689860</u>	).5			EAS	T <u>113</u>	3161.6			
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE _4"	BORING LOCAT	ION: US6	WB Ou	tside S	Shoulde	r West	t of Ga	rrison		
LOGG	GED BY	<u>J. Bill</u>	ler C		R LEVELS:								
NOTE	S				<b>PTH</b> <u>34.0 f</u>	t on 9/1	7/13		1				
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				INES CONTENT (%)
5521	0		<ul> <li>Asphalt Pavement approximately 5"</li> </ul>									<u> </u>	
			Concrete Pavement, approximately 10.25" (Fill) CLAY, sandy with gravel in parts, moist, grey and b very stiff to hard	prown,									
 _5516 	5		(US 6 Embankment)	мс	25/12	1.8		104.8	21.4				
  5511	10			мс	30/12			108.5	18.1	32	15	17	59.2
5506	15			MC	34/12			109.8	19.1				
5501	20			МС	40/12		0.00	101.7	21.8				
5496			(Native) CLAY, sandy, very moist to wet, light brown, very to stiff	ry stiff MC	28/12	-		99.9	24.1				
	30			мс	21/12	-		97.6	25.9	52	24	28	70.2
	  		<b>T</b>	мс	11/12	-		91.5	31.2				

K		Ro	ckSol nsulting Group, Inc.						BC	RI	N <b>G</b> : PAG	E 2 C	<b>R-1</b> DF 3
CLIEN	IT _C⊦	12M HIL	L P	ROJECT NAM	E_US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fii	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		UMBER	<u>321.01</u> F	ROJECT LOC	ATION Lake	ewood,	СО		1				
ELEVATION (ft)	(H) (H) 35	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
			(Native) CLAY, sandy, very moist to wet, light brown, very to stiff <i>(continued)</i>	ry stiff									
			(Native) SAND, silty to slightly clayey, wet, light brown, medium dense										
 5481	40			мс	: 12/12								
5476	45			мс	; 23/12			100.8	25.5				
   5471	_ · ·			мс	: 16/12	-							
   <u>5466</u>				мс	; 35/12	-		91.0	31.3				
5461	 - 60			МС	: 18/12	-		109.5	21.5				
	 65		(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, Silty, Silghtly moist, brown and very hard	grey,	50/5	_							
5451 5451	70			MC	50/5			86.7	33.2				
5446	- · - ·		(Bedrock) SANDSTONE, clayey, slightly moist, brown, v hard		50/3			102.9	21.0				

	R	ockSol							BC	RIN	IG : PAGI	<b>BR</b> ∃ 3 0	<b>-1</b> F 3
CLIENT	С <u>СН2М Н</u> СТ NUMBE	consulting Group, Inc. ILL R _321.01	PROJECT N	IAME OCAT	US 6 over	Garris wood,	son Fir CO	al Desi	gn				
(J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J)	LDG LDG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT		PLASTICITY D INDEX	FINES CONTENT (%)
		(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, moist, grey, very ha	ard	MC	50/4	2.4		107.7	20.9				
		Bolton of hole at 79.3 reet											
1///4													
חכיזי הכיזי													
בת המתואטתו רוואתר													
INDARD DED DIAL													
L0G - ST,													

	ł	Ro	<u>ckSol</u>							BC	RIN	IG : PAG	E 1 C	<b>{-2</b> )F 2
CLIEN	<b>П _</b> СН	Cor 2M HIL	nsulting Group, Inc. LI	PROJEC	T NAME	US 6 over	Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		JMBER	<u>_321.01</u> I	PROJEC	T LOCA	TION Lake	wood,	CO						
DATE	STAR	<b>TED</b> _9/	/16/13 <b>COMPLETED</b> 9/16/13	GROUND	ELEVA	TION _ 552	1.3 ft							
DRILL	ING CO	ONTRA	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH _	689813	.7			EAS	<b>T</b> <u>113</u>	3159.5	<u> </u>		_
	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE _4"	BORING	LOCATI	<b>ON:</b> <u>EB U</u>	5 6, La	ne 1, \	West Si	de of C	Garrisc	n		
	ied by S	<u>J. Bill</u>	er		WATE	R LEVELS: TH _35.0 ft	on 9/1	6/13						
_					щ		(%)	(9	Ŀ.	(9	AT		RG	NT
NOIT	폰	Ч Н С Н С			ТҮР	≥ TS	AL ('	Е (%	× ⊨	URE VT (%			'∠	UTR (
EVA)	.(ff)	LOC	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ЫЦ	OUN	SWE ENTI	FAT.	Dcf N	UIST		STIC	Ш	00000
ELE		Ū			SAM	O	OTE	SUL	DRY	₹Ö	25	L PL	ING	NEO
5521	0		Apphalt Dayomant approximately 7.5"		0,		ш.							
		2 6 4 8 4 8	Concrete Pavement approximately 9.5"					0.02			40	19	21	47 9
		<sup>⊲</sup> ∧ 1	(Fill) SAND, silty to slightly clayey, moist, brown, mediu	ım	MC	20/12		0.02	103.2	15.4				11.5
			dense		NIC	20/12			100.2	10.4				
 5516	5		(Fill) CLAY, with sand to sandy, very moist, brown and	grey,	мс	12/12	0.2		98.2	24.9				
			stiff to very stiff											
			(LIS 6 Embankment)											
5511	10				MC	15/12			97.0	23.9				
					МС	25/12			109.9	19.6				
_0000_														
L _														
			Our costs Datain											
5501	20		Concrete Debris											
			(Fill) CLAY sandy moist brown hard						99.0	24.7				
			(Fill) OLAT, Sandy, Moist, Brown, Hard		MC	34/12								
			(Native) CLAY, sandy, moist, brown, hard		MC	36/12								
5496	25								104.8	20.6				
L -					4									
5491	30				MC	40/12			109.3	19.2				
 E 4 0 C			_		мс	34/12			104.9	22.3	38	18	20	56 1
_3460_	_ 30_		_			0 // 12			101.0				20	00.1
 b														
F -		<i>\////</i>												

		Ro	ockSol						BC	RIN	NG : PAG	E 2 C	<b>R-2</b> DF 2
	NT <u>CH</u>	Co 12M HIL	nsulting Group, Inc. <u>L</u> P 2 321.01 P		US 6 ove	<u>r Garris</u>	son Fir	al Desi	gn				
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	AT LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
  _ 5481 	40		(Native) SAND, silty to clayey, moist to wet, brown, medi dense to dense	um	14/12	-		90.0	31.3				
  _ 5476 	45			мс	17/12	-		90.6	31.8				
  _ 5471 	50			МС	22/12	-		91.9	30.7				
 5466 	55			мс	37/12	-		97.8	25.8	40	22	18	47.6
    	60		(Native) CLAY, sandy, very moist, brown, hard	MC	40/12	-		106.9	21.0				
5456	65		(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, sandy in parts, very moist, brov and grey, very hard	vn, ► MC	50/3			96.6	24.6	46	24	22	51.8
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	70			MC_	50/4	1.4		93.8	26.2				
5446 	75			► MC	50/5	_							
			Bottom of hole at 79.3 feet.	MC ,	50/3	]		100.4	22.7				

K		Ro	ockSol							BC	RIN	NG : PAG	: <b>BR</b> E 1 C	<b>R-3</b> DF 2
CLIEN	ιπ <u></u> ∟	Co I2M HIL	onsulting Group, Inc.	PROJE	CT NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		UMBEF	<u>321.01</u>	PROJE	CT LOCA	TION Lake	ewood,	CO						
DATE	STAR		0/3/13 COMPLETED 9/3/13	GROUN	D ELEVA	TION _550	1.2 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ONTRA	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH	689715.	.1			EAS	T <u>113</u>	3174.9			_
DRILL			D _Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE _4"	BORING	LOCATI	ON: South	n West	Corne	r of US	6 and	Garris	on		
NOTE	S Bot	tom of	Embankment at west side of US 6 bridge over Garrison		D WATER	R LEVELS: TH <u>16.0 f</u> f	on 9/3	6/13						
Z		U			ΥΡΕ	S	(%)	(%)	Ă.	ЗE (%)	AT	FERBE LIMITS	ERG	TENT
(F)	(ff)	PHI 0G	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		́⊢   Щ	NO.	ITIAL	ATE	ocf)	ENT	_ □ ⊢	₽⊢	E E×	_NO%
		GR/			MPI	COB	SM	ULF,	2	NOIS	N N		NDE	ES ()
5501	o				S		PO	۵.	ä	20		⊡	Ч Ч	N N N
			Topsoil, SILT, sandy, slightly moist, light brown, soft, approximately 3"	$\square$	-									
			(Native) CLAY, sandy to very sandy with clayey sand	in parts,				0.00			40	25	15	63.8
			moist to very moist to wet, brown, still to medium still		MC	15/12	2.7		110.3	15.3				
 5406					мс	21/12	2.7		117.2	14.6				
_5496	5													
5491	10				МС	9/12	-0.3		108.4	16.4				
5486	15				мс	8/12	-0.7		94.2	28.6				
t			V				1							
			(Native) SILT, sandy and clayey in parts, wet, brown,	loose										
						0/40			00.0	00.4	00	00		
5481	20				MC	6/12			90.9	33.1	32	29	3	54.4
<u> </u>			(Native) SAND, clayey, wet, brown, medium dense		-									
5476	25				мс	11/12	-0.4		97.6	27.3				
					MC	8/12	-							
54/1	30					0,12								
5 2	+ -													
	Ľ.													
	[ ]													
5466	35				мс	44/12			102.1	25.5				

		Ro	ockSol						BC	RI	N <b>G</b> : PAG	E 2 C	<b>R-3</b> DF 2
CLIEN PROJ	NT <u>CH</u> IECT NI	Co 12M HIL JMBER	nsulting Group, Inc. <u>L</u> I 321.01 I	PROJECT NAME PROJECT LOCA	E <u>US6ove</u>	r Garris ewood,	son Fir CO	nal Des	ign				
6 ELEVATION	5 DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	TA FIMIT	LERBE LIMIT: LIMIT LIMIT		FINES CONTENT (%)
			(Native) SAND, clayey, wet, brown, medium dense (continued)										
	 		(Native) CLAY, sandy, weathered claystone, moist, grey stiff	/, very	28/12	_	0.01	93.4	29.8				
	 					_							
 _5456_	45	$ \begin{array}{c} \times \times \\ \times \\ $	(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE with INTERBEDED SILTSTON silty to sandy in parts, moist, grey brown, very hard	IE, MC	50/7	-		98.1	28.1				
  _ 5451	  50			X ss	18/28/30	-	0.01		31.5	59	37	22	92.0
						-							
4	+ -		Bottom of hole at 54.8 feet.	MC	50/10			97.0	25.3				
LUG - STANDARD USS OVER GARRISON FINAL DESIGN.GFJ RUCKSOL IEMFLATE.GDT 17/714													

		Ro	ckSol							BC	RIN	NG : PAG	: <b>BF</b> E 1 C	<b>{-4</b> )F 2
CLIEN	<b>п</b> _сн	I2M HILI	L	PROJEC <sup>.</sup>	T NAME	US 6 over	Garris	on Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		JMBER	321.01	PROJEC <sup>.</sup>		TION Lake	wood,	со						
DATE	STAR	<b>TED</b> _9/	/4/13 <b>COMPLETED</b> 9/4/13	GROUND	ELEVA	<b>TION</b> _549	7.8 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ONTRA	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH	689930.	7			EAS	T <u>11</u>	3335.7			
DRILL	ING M	ethod	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE _4"	BORING	LOCATI	ON: North	East C	Corner	of 6th a	and Ga	rrison			
LOGG NOTE	ED BY S	′ <u>J. Bill</u>	er		WATER	R LEVELS: TH <u>14.5 ft</u>	: on 9/4	/13						
7					Щ		(%)	(%)	Ŀ.	(%	AT	TERBE	RG	ENT
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYI	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (	SULFATE (%	DRY UNIT M (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	FINES CONTE (%)
5498	0		Topsoil, CLAY, sandy, moist, brown, soft, approximately	y 3" iŋ				0.02			46	22	24	66.2
			(Fill) CLAX candy maint brown you stiff		мс	22/12	1							
							-		91.8	13.6				
 _5493	5		(Native) CLAY, sandy, slightly moist to moist, brown, ve to stiff	ery stiff	мс	25/12	1.1	0.00	108.2	19.8				
  <u>5488</u> 	  - 10 				мс	10/12	-		100.7	21.5				
5483	15		(Native) SAND, silty to clayey, wet, brown, medium den	ise	мс	10/12	-		98.0	30.1	39	25	14	44.3
  5478	  <u>20</u> 		(Native) CLAY, sandy with silty SAND in parts, moist to moist, brown to dark brown, very stiff	o very	мс	12/12	-		96.9	29.0				
5473	 _ <u>25</u> 				мс	13/12	-		88.1	35.6				
	 		(Native) SAND, silty to clayey with clay and gravel in pa wet, brown, very stiff to dense	arts,	MC	15/12	-		95.6	29.6	41	23	18	47.4
	35				мс	22/12	-		104.1	24.0				

K		Ro	ckSol						BC	RIN	NG : PAG	: <b>BR</b> E 2 C	<b>R-4</b> DF 2
		Co	nsulting Group, Inc.										
CLIEN	NT <u>CH</u>	12M HIL	LP		US 6 ove	r Garris	on Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ			<u> </u>			ewooa,	CO			ΔΤ	FRBF	RG	
(tt) (tt) 54	HL DEPTH 35	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			FINES CONTENT (%)
			(Native) SAND, silty to clayey with clay and gravel in part wet, brown, very stiff to dense <i>(continued)</i>	S,		_							
_5458	40			MC	32/12	-		110.1	19.9	26	24	2	20.4
	+ .		(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, sandy silty in parts, very moist, brown and grey, very hard	МС	50/10	-		100.0	22.1				
_5453  	45			IVIC	50/10	-		100.0	22.1				
	50			MC	50/12	-	0.01	92.0	30.7	61	29	32	97.6
 5443_ 	55		(Bedrock) SANDSTONE, clayey, slightly moist, light brow very hard	/n,	50/3	,		103.1	22.6				
I.GPJ ROCKSOL TEMPLATE.GC			(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, silty in parts, slightly moist, olive brown grey, very hard Bottom of hole at 59.5 feet.		50/5	-		108.1	18.2				
60 OVER GARRISON FINAL DESIGN													
LOG - STANDARD U:								96.5	26.9				

		Ro	ockSol							BC	RIN	NG : PAG	E 1 C	<b>R-5</b> DF 3
CLIEN	NT _CH	Co I2M HIL	nsulting Group, Inc. L	PROJECT	NAME	US 6 over	Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ	ECT N	UMBER	321.01	PROJECT	LOCA	FION Lake	wood,	CO						
DATE	STAR	<b>TED</b> _9	0/16/13 COMPLETED 10/1/13	GROUND	ELEVA	TION _ 552	0.4 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ontra	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH 6	689815.	.1			EAS	T <u>113</u>	3326.9			
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"	BORING L	OCATI	<b>ON:</b> <u>EB U</u>	S6, Lai	ne 1, E	East Sid	e of G	arrison			
LOGG	GED BY	J. Bil	ler		WATEF	R LEVELS:								
NOTE	S				RDEP	TH <u>37.0 ft</u>	on 9/1	6/13						
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		MPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL TENTIAL (%)	ULFATE (%)	RY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE DNTENT (%)				ES CONTENT (%)
5520	0				/S		P	S	ä	-ŭ		Ē	PL/	N L
		8.1.8	Asphalt Pavement, approximately 8.25"											
	T		Concrete Pavement approximately 8.5"     Asphalt Pavement, approximately 3.25"					0.01			46	19	27	52.2
	[ .		(Fill) CLAY, sandy, organics in parts, very moist, grey	ey and										
	Ļ .		brown, very still to hard											
_5515	5	-	(US 6 Embankment)		MC	19/12	0.3		97.3	26.7				
	+ .	-												
	+ ·													
	+ ·													
5510	10				МС	31/12		0.01	103.5	22.6	52	22	30	60.2
	Ļ .							0.01			52	22	30	00.2
	Ļ .	-888												
	+ .	-888												
 5505	15	-			мс	30/12			107.2	20.0				
	15													
	+ ·													
	Ļ .				4									
5500	20	-			MC	48/12			111.1	17.8				
	+ .													
2	+ ·													
 	+ ·		(Native) CLAY, sandy, moist, brown, hard											
5495	25													
	Ļ .													
	Ļ .													
5 – –	+ ·					42/42	-		140 7	17.0				
5490	30				MC	43/12			113.7	17.0				
3	+ ·													
	+ ·													
	† '													
5485	35													

4		Ro	ockSol						BC	RIN	IG : PAG	<b>BR</b> E 2 0	<b>R-5</b>
		Co	nsulting Group, Inc.										
CLIEN	NT _C⊦	12M HIL	L P	ROJECT NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	on Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		UMBER	<u>321.01</u> P	ROJECT LOCAT	TION Lake	wood, (	CO	1	1				
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
3463	33		(Native) CLAY, sandy, moist, brown, hard (continued)										
			(Native) SAND, silty to clayey, wet, brown, medium dens	e									
5480	40			MC	28/12								
5475	45												
-													
5470	50			МС	30/12			97.2	26.3				
	- ·												
5465	55												
<u></u>													
	÷ .												
	+ ·												
5460	60												
	÷ .												
5	- ·												
<u>5455</u>	65		(Bedrock) SANDSTONE, clayey, moist, light brown, very	hard									
	+ .												
5450	70			MC	50/1								
	ļ												
	+ .												
								00.5	00.0				
5445	75			MC /	50/3			96.5	26.9				

	I	<u>20</u>	ckSol						BC	DRIN	IG : Pagi	<b>BR</b> ∃ 3 0	<b>2-5</b> ⊩ 3
CLIEN	<b>п</b> _сн	2M HIL	L PR	OJECT NAM	E_US6 ov	er Garris	son Fir	al Desi	gn				
PROJ	ECT NU	IMBER	<u>321.01</u> PR	OJECT LOC	ATION Lak	ewood,	CO						
(II) (II) 545	UEPTH (ff) 22	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	TA FIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Bedrock) SANDSTONE, clayey, moist, light brown, very l (continued)	nard									
			(Bedrock) CLAYSTONE, wet, grey, very hard										
			Bottom of hole at 79.1 feet.		50/1								

LOG - STANDARD US6 OVER GARRISON FINAL DESIGN.GPJ ROCKSOL TEMPLATE.GDT 1/7/14

		Ro	ockSol							BC	RIN	NG : PAG	: <b>BR</b> E 1 C	<b>R-6</b> DF 2
CLIEN	п сн	Co I2M HIL	nsulting Group, Inc. L	PROJEC	T NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	an				
PROJ		JMBER	321.01	PROJEC		<b>FION</b> Lake	ewood,	со		-				
DATE	STAR	TED 9	//3/13 COMPLETED 9/3/13	GROUNE	) ELEVA	<b>TION</b> 550	1.1 ft							
DRILL	ING CO	ONTRA	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH	689747	7			FAS	r 113	325 9			
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"	BORING		ON: South	n Fast (	Corner		and (	Sarrisc	'n		
LOGO	ED BY	′J. Bil		GROUNE				Somer	<u>a 00 (</u>		Jamse	// 1		
NOTE	s				ER DEP	TH <u>18.0 f</u>	on 9/3	8/13						
										-	AT	FERBE	RG	F
N	_	υ			ΥPE	S	%)	(%)	1×	щ%)		LIMITS	3	EN L
ff) ATIO	E L	Ηg	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		н Ц	MO	TIAL I	ΞĮ	t G	<u>I</u>		₽∟	Ëx	NO @
ЧЧ	DE	LC			APL	NOLE	SN	LFA	59	OIS	IN E	AST		S S
Щ					SAN	0	PO	SU	DR	≥ö		L L	Ξ <u></u>	IN IN
5501	0	With the	☐ Topsoil SILT sandy slightly moist light brown soft											
			(Fill) SAND, silty with gravel, slightly moist, light brow	vn, dense	MC	27/40	-							
					MC	37/12	-		132.6	3.2	NP	NP	NP	14.1
5496	5		(Native) CLAY, sandy to very sandy, silty sandy in pa	irts, moist	мс	18/12	2.1	0.01	109.5	19.2				
			to very moist, brown and grey, very stiff											
	L -													
5491	10				мс	23/12	1.2		103.8	21.7				
5486	15				мс	12/12	-0.3		99.8	24.8				
0100	10						-							
			_											
			<u> </u>											
					мс	0/12	-0.5		100.0	25.1				
5481	20				WIC	9/12	-0.5		100.9	23.1				
							-							
5476	25				MC	13/12	-0.3		94.5	29.9				
_	L													
5471	30				мс	12/12	-1.0		91.7	29.8				
				ľ			]							
	-													
	+ -													
 5/66	25				мс	13/12	1	0.02	96.2	27.7				
00+00	00	V1.1111				=	1				1	1	1	1



# BORING : BR-6 PAGE 2 OF 2

CLIENT CH2M HILL

PROJECT NAME US 6 over Garrison Final Design

PROJECT NUMBER 321.01 PROJECT LOCATION Lakewood, CO														
z			0		PE		(%)	(%)	MT.	ц (%)	AT	FERBE	RG	ENT
R ELEVATIO	80 (ft)	(ft) 22	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TY	BLOW	SWELL POTENTIAL	SULFATE (	DRY UNIT ( (pcf)	MOISTUR	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	FINES CONT (%)
		35  40  40   50  55		(Native) CLAY, sandy to very sandy, silty sandy in parts, moist to very moist, brown and grey, very stiff <i>(continued)</i> (Native) CLAY, weathered claystone, silty in parts, moist, grey and brown, hard (Bedrock) SANDSTONE, clayey, moist, light brown, very hard (Bedrock) CLAYSTONE with INTERBEDED SILTSTONE, silty in parts, moist to very moist, brown, very hard	MC MC MC	33/12 50/9 50/10 17/19/21		<u>o</u>	94.9 94.0	28.4 21.0 25.4 34.9	60	39	21	93.3
LOG - STANDARD US6 OVER GARRISON FINAL DESIGN.GPJ ROCKSOL TEMPLATE.GDT 1/8/14				Bottom of hole at 59.3 feet.		50/4				34.9		39	21	93.3

K		Ro	ckSol							BC	DRII	N <b>G</b> PAG	<b>: P\</b> E 1 C	<b>/-1</b> DF 1
CLIEN	<b>ит</b> _СН	2M HIL	L	PROJE	CT NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		JMBER	321.01	PROJE		TION Lake	ewood,	со						
DATE	STAR	TED _9	/17/13 <b>COMPLETED</b> 9/17/13	GROUN	ID ELEVA	TION _551	3.3 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ONTRA	CTOR _ Dakota Drilling	NORTH	689853.	.7			EAS	<b>T</b> <u>112</u>	2576.0			
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"	BORING	G LOCATI	ON: WBL	JS6 Sh	oulder	600' W	est of	Garris	on Brid	dge	
LOGO	SED BY	J. Bill	er	GROUN	ID WATER	R LEVELS:								
NOTE	S			WA		TH None	Encour	itered	on 9/17.	/13				
z					Ц		(%)	(%	Ŀ.	ш%			ERG Ş	ENT
ELEVATIO (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TY	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL OTENTIAL	SULFATE (	DRY UNIT V (pcf)	MOISTURI CONTENT (	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	LASTICITY INDEX	INES CONTI
5513	0		Asphalt Pavement, approximately 7.25"				-							ш
	+ -	₽. <b>4</b> . 4 • <b>Д</b> . 9 <b>.</b> •	Concrete Pavement, approximately 7 1/8"		-			0.00			46	22	24	47.6
		ి. సి. సి. సి. - సి. సి. సి. - సి. సి. సి. - సి. సి. సి.	(Fill) SAND, silty to clayey with gravel, moist, brown, dense	very	мс	62/12	-		119.9	6.0				
5508	5		(Native) CLAY, sandy, very moist to moist, brown, ve	ry stiff	мс	26/12	0.0		99.5	22.4				
   5503	   10				мс	19/12	-		89.5	30.1				

K	ŀ	Ro	ockSol							BC	RI	N <b>G</b> PAG	E 1 C	<b>/-2</b> )F 1
CLIEN	NT <u>CH</u> ECT NU	2M HIL JMBER	1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	PROJEC	T NAME	<u>US 6 ove</u>	<u>r Garris</u> ewood,	<u>son Fir</u> CO	nal Desi	gn				
DATE DRILI DRILI LOGO	STAR	red _1 DNTRA Ethod _J. Bil	0/1/13         COMPLETED _10/1/13           CTOR _Dakota Drilling           Solid Stem Auger         HOLE SIZE _4"           ler	GROUN NORTH BORING GROUN	D ELEVA 689778. LOCATIO	TION <u>549</u> 7 ON: <u>Shou</u> R LEVELS:	8.1 ft  Ider US	6 EB	EAS	T _113	3990.9			
NOTE	S			WA	TER DEP	TH None	Encour	ntered	on 10/1	/13				
(ft) (ft) 2498	o DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT
		° /2° 8° °.	Asphalt Pavement, Approximately 7.5" (Fill) SAND, gravel, moist, black and dark brown, der	nse				0.00			38	16	22	86.
					MC	50/6			125.2	5.7				
5493	5		(Native) CLAY, with sand to sandy, moist, grey and l very stiff	prown,	мс	29/12	0.7		102.0	23.4				
5488	  10				мс	32/12	-		10.1	22.5				

		Ro	ckSol							BO	RIN	I <b>G :</b> PAG	<b>RW</b> E 1 0	<b>V-1</b> DF 1
CLIEN	<b>п</b> _сн	2M HILL		PROJE		US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		JMBER	321.01	PROJE		FION Lake	ewood,	СО						
DATE	STAR	TED _9/	19/13 COMPLETED 9/19/13	_ GROUN	ID ELEVA	TION 551	4.0 ft							
DRILL	ING CO	ONTRAC	CTOR Dakota Drilling	_ NORTH	689858.	9			EAS	T <u>11</u> 2	2762.2			_
	.ING M ED BY	ethod ′_J. Bille	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"			ON: <u>WBU</u> R LEVELS:	<u>JS6 Sh</u>	oulder	400' W	est of	Garris	on		
	3 <u> </u>					IH <u>21.01</u>		9/13			AT	TERBE	RG	F
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			FINES CONTEN (%)
5514	0		Asphalt Pavement, approximately 9.25"											
-			(Fill) CLAY and SAND, sandy, silty to gravelly, very grey, stiff to very hard	moist,										
-					мс	14/12	0.8		106.2	20.6				
	5				мс	50/12	_		138.1	3.0				
· _	 													
5504	10		(Native) CLAY, sandy to silty, moist to wet, dark gree	y and	мс	16/12	0.0		97.7	25.4				
 	 								00.5					
<u>5499</u> - -	 				MC	10/12	0.5	0.00	96.5	26.0				
- 5494	20				мс	14/12	1		92.3	29.1				
	 	Ţ	<u>-</u>											
5489	25				МС	6/12	0.6		85.1	37.4				
			(Native) SAND, silty, very moist to wet, brown, loose	9										
5484	30				мс	7/12			90.3	30.2				
			Bottom of hole at 30.0 feet.											
		Ro	ockSol							BO	RIN	IG : PAG	<b>RN</b> E 1 C	<b>1-2</b> DF 2
-------	----------	---------	--	----------	---------	------------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------	--------	-------------	--------------------	--------------------
CLIEN	NT_C⊢	I2M HIL	L	PROJEC	T NAME	US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ		JMBER	321.01	PROJEC		<b>FION</b> Lake	ewood,	со						
DATE	STAR	TED 9	0/17/13 COMPLETED <u>9/19/13</u>	GROUN	D ELEVA	TION _551	8.9 ft							
DRILL	ING C	ontra	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH	689860.	4			EAS	<b>T</b> _112	2982.7	,		_
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"	BORING		ON: <u>WBU</u>	JS6 Sh	oulder	200' W	est of	Garris	son Bri	dge	
LOGO	GED BY	J. Bil	ler	GROUN	D WATEF	R LEVELS:								
NOTE	:s			WA	TER DEP	TH None	Encour	ntered	on 9/17	/13				
					Щ		(%	()	Ŀ.	@	AT	TERBE	:RG 3	L L L
10L	E	₽ S			L ₹	N ITS	AL.	ы (%)	× ⊢_			0	≥	LU L
(ff)	EP (#	LOC	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			OUN	NTI NTI	FAT	N DG	ITEN	∃Ę	STIC	ΞÄ	08
		Ū			SAM 8	-0	OTE	SUL	RY	MON	l d el	L A	ISA IN PS	NEO
5519	0												₫	Ē
	+ -		Aspnait Pavement approximately 4"     Concrete Pavement, approximately 7.5"	/	-			0.01			38	20	18	43.9
	+ -		(Fill) CLAY, sandy, very moist, brown, very stiff to ha	ird										
	+ -					19/12	0.5		108.9	18.6				
	+ -					50/5	0.7		110.7	17.3				
5514	5		<ul> <li>Hit concrete at approximately 5' and stopped drilling.</li> <li>Jacotion offact 5 fact parthweat and drilled 0/10/2013</li> </ul>	Borehole			1			-				
	+ -		(Fill) SAND, silty to clayey with gravel, very sandy with	h silty										
	+ -		sand, moist, dark grey and brown, medium dense to	hard										
	+ -													
					MC	18/12	-		1116	11 /	31	15	16	20.8
5509	10					10/12	-		111.0	11.4		15		29.0
	+ -													
	+ -													
5504	15				мс	30/12	0.0	0.01	111.9	14.5				
	15									-				
			(Native) CLAY, sandy to silty with silty sand in parts,	very										
5499	20		moist to wet, brown, very stim		мс	25/12			105.4	20.4	47	20	27	76.1
3														
2														
	L.													
	L.													
5494	25				МС	15/12			105.4	17.9				
	Ļ .													
	Ļ .													
h	Ļ .													
	<u> </u>						-							
5489	30				MC	10/12	-0.2		103.2	22.9				
	+ -													
	+ -													
	+ -													
	+ -				MC	14/10	-							
5484	35	V/////				14/12	1							

								PAG	E 2 C	F 2			
Consulting Group, Inc.		PROJECT NAME	US 6 ove	r Garrisor	n Final Desi	ign							
OJECT NUMBER 321.01		PROJECT LOCATIONLakewood, CO											
(H) HI (H) DO	IATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%) DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT LIMIT		FINES CONTENT (%)			
(Native) CLAY, sa moist to wet, brow	andy to silty with silty sand in parts, v vn, very stiff <i>(continued)</i>	ery MC	14/12	_	99.7	24.6							
/9 40 /////	Bottom of hole at 40.0 feet.		17/12	-	00.7	24.0							

K			ockS	01							BO	RIN	IG : PAG	<b>RV</b> E 1 (	<b>V-3</b> DF 1		
CLIEN	NT <u>CH</u>	2M HIL		p, mo.	PROJE		US 6 ove	r Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn						
PROJ			R <u>321.01</u>				TION Lake	wood,	CO								
			CTOP Dakat		GROUN		10N <u>550</u>	4.0 IL									
			Solid Stem		NORTH	<u> </u>	4 <b>ov</b> or	—		EAS	1 <u>11</u> 2	2929.2					
			ler		BORING		<b>UN:</b> $Off rates$	amp for	m EB	6th to C	Sarriso	n					
NOTE	S						TH 14.0 ft	on 9/4	/2501	3							
N		o				L L	(0	(%)	(%)	Υ.	щ%			3	N.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U.U		
f)	TH (	Ηg				—́—́—́ш	MC	IAL IAL	Ë	Ê,Ę	ΪΞË		<u>ပ</u> ု	Ľ×	NO Solution		
Ч	DEI	LC		WATERIAE DESCRIPTION		MPL	SOL	SN.	LFA	59	NTE		AST IMI	DEC	S S S		
<b>山</b>						SAI	Ũ	PO	N	DR	≥S			Ľ¥	N.		
5505			Topsoil, S/	AND, gravelly, slightly moist, brown, loose, tely 6"					0.00			43	20	23	54.3		
-			(Fill) CLAY	, sandy with gravel in parts, moist, dark br	own,			_									
-	+ -		(Netive) C	AV condu maint brown your stiff		мс	20/12	_	0.00	117.8	10.1	NP	NP	NP	46.9		
-			(Native) C	LAY, sandy, moist, brown, very stiff				-									
5500	5					МС	28/12	1.4		108.8	19.7						
<u>5495</u>			(Native) S.	AND, silty to clayey with gravel, sandy with	clay in	MC	17/12	-0.1		107.2	18.7						
5490	15		÷ parts, silty	to clayey, very moist to wet, medium dens	e	мс	12/12	-0.4		97.7	25.9	40	23	17	38.2		
						мс	12/12	-		97.2	27.3						
<u>9403</u> - - -																	
5480	25					мс	12/12			96.0	30.7						
- - - 5475	  - 30			Bottom of hole at 30.0 feet.		мс	13/12	-									

CLIENT CHURNER         COMPLETE 10/1/13         PROJECT NAME US 6 over Gardison Final Design           PROJECT NUMEER 32101         PROJECT NUMEER 32101         PROJECT NUMEER 32101         PROJECT NUMEER 32101           DATE STARTED 10/1/13         COMPLETED 10/1/13         CRONUN ELEVATION 5016.4 ft         Status 113491.7           DRILLING COMPLETED 10/1/13         CRONUN ELEVATION 5016.4 ft         EAST 113491.7         BORING LOCATION: Shoulder Prevement EB US9, 100 East of Gardison Final Design           DRILLING COMPLETED 10/1/13         CRONUN WATER LEVELS:         WATER BORTH 32.0 ft on 10/1/13         More 16/1/13           VID EF         Status 113491.7         BORING LOCATION: Shoulder Prevement EB US9, 100 East of Gardison Final Design         More 16/1/13           MOTES         Matterial DESCRIPTION         Variant Berth 32.0 ft on 10/1/13         More 16/1/13           Asphall Prevement, approximately 7.75°         More 24/12         0.1         112.7         17.8           5516         Asphall Prevement, approximately 7.75°         More 24/12         0.1         112.6         9.4           5505         Gardia         More 24/12         0.1         112.6         9.4           5505         Interviewer 16° and 19°         More 31/12         100.7         18.6         17.7           5606         10         (Nathw) CLAY, sandy, grave	<b>RW-4</b> E 1 OF 2
PROJECT NUMBER         321.01         PROJECT LOCATION         Lakewood, CO           DATE STARTED         101/1/3         COMPLETED         101/1/3         ORONNO ELEVATION         5516.4 ft           DRILLING COMPLETED         101/1/3         ORONNO ELEVATION         556.4 ft         DOTES         EAST         113401.7           DRILLING COMPLETED         Solid Stem Auger         HOLE SIZE         4*         BORING LOCATION         Shoulder Pavement EB USe, 100' East of Ge GROUND WATER LEVELS:           WATER DEPTH         37.01 ft on 10/1/13         Image: Solid Stem Auger	
DATE STARTED         101/1/3         COMPLETED         101/1/3         GROUND ELEVATION         5516.4 ft           DRILLING CONTRACTOR         Date Stem Auge:         HOLE SIZE _4*         BORNO DELEVATION         EAST _ 113491.7           LOGGED BY _J_Biller         Solid Stem Auge:         HOLE SIZE _4*         BORNO DELEVATION         Solid Stem Auge:         BORNO DELEVATION         EAST _ 113491.7           NORTH         689773.0         EAST _ 113491.7         BORNO DELEVATION         EAST _ 113491.7           DORUGED BY _J_Biller         BORNO DELEVATION         Solid Stem Auge:         BORNO DELEVATION         EAST _ 113491.7           NOTES         MATERIAL DESCRIPTION         # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR         Database         MORTH         689773.0         EAST         113491.7           DRILLING METHOD         Solid Size Auger         HOLE SIZE 4*         DORING LOCATION: Shoulder Pewement EB US6, 100° Eest of Ge GROUND WATTER LEVELS:         Solid Feature EB US6, 100° Eest of Ge GROUND WATTER LEVELS:           NOTES	
DRILING METHOD       Selid Stem Auger       HOLE SIZE 4*       BORING LOCATION: Shoulder Pawement EB US6, 100 FEast of Ga         NOTES       GROUND WATER LEVELS:       WATERDEPTH 37.0 ft on 101/13         V       H       BORING LOCATION: Shoulder Pawement EB US6, 100 FEast of Ga         0       MATERIAL DESCRIPTION       BU       BU         0       H       BORING LOCATION: Shoulder Pawement EB US6, 100 FEast of Ga         0       MATERIAL DESCRIPTION       BU       BU         0       Asphat Pawement, approximately 7.75"       Fill CLAV, sandy with Pace gravel, most, grey and dark brown, very stiff       MC 24/12         0       MC 24/12       0.1       112.7       17.8         5501       15       Concrete nubble encountered between 16' and 19'       MC 22/6       100.7       18.6         5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, most, brown, very stiff       MC 31/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7         5496       30        (Native) SAND, gravelly, most, light brown, dense       MC 44/12       116.5       7.7	
GROUND WATER LEVELS:         Second WATER LEVELS:         WATER DEPTH       37.0 ft on 10/1/13         V       Matter DESCRIPTION       Matter DESCRIPTION <t< th=""><th>rrison St.</th></t<>	rrison St.
NOTES       Y MATER DEPTH       37.0 ft cn. 10//1/3         Z       Matter Depth       37.0 ft cn. 10//1/3         Z       Matter Depth       37.0 ft cn. 10//1/3         Z       Matter Depth       37.0 ft cn. 10//1/3         Stife       Matter Depth       Stife       Matter Depth         Stife       Matter Depth       Matter Depth       Stife       Matter Depth         Stife       Aphalt Pavement, approximately 7.75"       Mc       15/12       0.1       112.7       17.8         Stife       Mc       24/12       Mc       107.5       19.6       37       19         Stife       Mc       24/12       Mc       24/12       107.5       19.6       37       19         Stife       Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'       Mc       40/12       100.7       18.6       37       19         Stife       Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'       Mc       31/12       0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7         Stife       Mc       30/12       Mc       30.0       106.6       17.7         Stife       Mc       Mc       41/12       Mc       41/12       116.5       7.7 <th></th>	
MC         MC<	
0       0       MATERIAL DESCRIPTION       0       1100       1100       0	RG
See       0 are       36 b       37 b       19 b       36 b	TY ONTE
Image: Constraint of the second se	
5516       0       Asphalt Pavement, approximately 7.75"       0 <td>IN IN</td>	IN IN
1       Clipital is ordered by 1.13         1       112.7 <t< td=""><td><u>с</u> ц</td></t<>	<u>с</u> ц
brown, very stiff 5511 5 5511 5 5506 10 5506 10 5506 10 5506 10 5506 10 5501 15 Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19' 5496 20 (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff 5496 20 (Native) SAND, gravelly, moist, light brown, dense 5496 30 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12 MC 44/12	
10.1       10.1       112.7       17.8         5511       5       10       107.5       18.6       37       19         5506       10       107.5       18.6       37       19         5506       10       112.7       17.8       112.6       9.4         5506       10       112.7       112.6       9.4         5501       15       16       100.7       18.6         5501       15       100.7       18.6       100.7         5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC       31/12         5496       20       (Native) SAND, gravelly, moist, light brown, dense       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7         5486       30       30       116.5       7.7       116.5       7.7	
5511       5         5511       5         5506       10         5506       10         5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         MC       22/6         100.7       18.6         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC         MC       30/12         -       -         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC         MC       30/12         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       -         -       - <td></td>	
5511       5       10       10.3       13.0       31       13         5506       10       MC       40/12       112.6       9.4         5501       15       MC       22/6       100.7       18.6         5501       15       Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'       MC       22/6       100.7       18.6         5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC       31/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7         5491       25       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7         5486       30       X       MC       44/12       116.5       7.7	19 /9 0
5506       10         5506       10         5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         MC       30/12         MC       116.5         7.7	10 40.0
5506       10         5506       10         5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         MC       22/6         100.7       18.6         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7         5486       30         -1       MC         44/12       116.5	
5506       10         5506       10         5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         MC       22/6         100.7       18.6         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7         5486       30         MC       44/12	
5506       10       112.6       9.4         5501       15         5501       15         5501       15         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC         5491       25         5491       25         6486       30         6301       15         6486       30	
3000       10         5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         MC       31/12         MC       30/12         6491       25         MC       30/12         MC       116.5         7.7	
5501       15         5501       15         5601       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         MC       31/12         MC       30/12         6491       25         6493       0.00         100.7       18.6         110.0       16.0         34       25         MC       30/12         -       -	
5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         5491       25         5491       25         6       0.00         100.7       18.6         110.0       16.0         34       25         MC       30/12         -       -         5486       30         -       -         5486       30	
5501       15         5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         5496       20         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         MC       31/12         MC       30/12	
5501       15         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19'         (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff         MC       31/12         MC       31/12         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         MC       30/12         MC       116.6         116.5       7.7	
Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19' Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19' (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff MC 31/12 MC 30/12 	
Concrete rubble encountered between 16' and 19' 5496 20 (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff 5497 25 MC 31/12 MC 30/12 -0.3 0.00 106.6 17.7 110.0 16.0 34 25 MC 44/12 116.5 7.7 MC 44/12	
5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC       31/12         5491       25         5491       25         5491       25         5491       25         5491       25         6       110.0         10.0       16.0         34       25         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         10.6       17.7         -0.3       0.00         -0.4       116.5         -0.5       116.5         -0.5       116.5         -0.5       116.5         -0.5       116.5         -0.5       116.5	
5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC       31/12       110.0       16.0       34       25         5491       25       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7       16.0       34       25         5491       25       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7       16.0       16.0       34       25         5491       25       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7       16.0       16.0       34       25         5486       30       30       30       30       106.6       17.7       16.0       16.0       16.0       17.7       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       16.0       17.7       10.0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
5496       20       (Native) CLAY, sandy, gravelly, moist, brown, very stiff       MC       31/12       110.0       16.0       34       25         5491       25       MC       30/12       -0.3       0.00       106.6       17.7       1         5496       30       30       MC       44/12       116.5       7.7       1	
5491       25         5491       25         MC       30/12	9 27.1
5491       25         5491       25         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7	
5491       25         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7	
5491       25         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7         116.5       7.7	
5491       25         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7         MC       30/12         -0.3       0.00         106.6       17.7         MC       44/12         MC       44/12	
MC     44/12         MC     44/12         116.5     7.7	
Image: Second state sta	
5486       30       <	
1     - <td></td>	
$\vec{z}$   $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}$   (Native) SAND, silty with clay, moist to wet, light brown,	
MC 30/12 -0.2 95.8 28.4	

		Ro	ckSol						BO	RIN	G: PAG	<b>RW</b> E 2 C	<b>/-4</b> 0F 2	
		Со	nsulting Group, Inc.						ian					
PROJ	ECT N	JMBER		PROJECT LOCATION _Lakewood, CO										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY <sup>2</sup> B INDEX	FINES CONTENT (%)	
<u></u> 	 		(Native) SAND, silty with clay, moist to wet, light brown medium dense to dense <i>(continued)</i>	l,										
5476	40			МС	69/11	_		98.4	29.1					
	 		(Native) CLAY, sandy, wet, light brown, very stiff											
 _5471_	45			МС	27/12			89.5	30.8					
			(Native) SAND, clayey, wet, light brown, medium dens	e										
5466	 50							90.7	31.9					
			Bottom of hole at 50.0 feet.	MC	31/12									

		Ro	ckSol							BO	RIN	I <b>G:</b> PAG	<b>RW</b> E 1 C	<b>/-5</b> DF 2
CLIEN	<b>IT</b> _CH	Cor I2M HILI	nsulting Group, Inc.	PROJECT NA	ME	US 6 over	Garris	son Fir	nal Desi	gn				
PROJ	ECT NI	JMBER		PROJECT LO	CAT	ION Lake	wood,	CO						
DATE	STAR	TED _9/	(19/13 COMPLETED 9/19/13	GROUND ELE	EVA.	<b>TION</b> _549	9.5 ft							
DRILL	ING CO	ONTRAC	CTOR Dakota Drilling	NORTH _ 689	755.	9			EAS	T <u>113</u>	3696.9			
DRILL	ING M	ETHOD	Solid Stem Auger HOLE SIZE 4"	BORING LOC	ATIO	<b>DN:</b> <u>US6</u> \$	SE Fro	ntage l	Road					
LOGG	ED BY	J. Bille	er	GROUND WA	TER	LEVELS:								
NOTE	<b>S</b> _ <u>EB</u>	US6 Fro	ontage Road, Inside Lane		DEP	<b>FH</b> <u>20.5 ft</u>	on 9/1	9/13		1				
ATION (ft)	PTH (ft)	VPHIC 0G	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	E TYPE		OW	/ELL ITIAL (%)	ATE (%)	NIT WT. ocf)	STURE ENT (%)	AT			SONTENT %)
ELEV		GR		SAMPI		COL	SW POTEN	SULF/	DRY U	CONT	LIQU	PLAS <sup>-</sup>	PLASTI	) SINES (
5500	U	7777.1.1	Asphalt Pavement, approximately 6"										-	-
			(Native) CLAY, sandy, very moist, brown, stiff											
				N	1C	11/12	3.0		96.8	27.9				
	5				1C	11/12	0.1	0.00	104.3	19.2				
  5490 	 - 10		(Native) SAND, silty, clayey in parts, very moist, browr medium dense	n,	1C	14/12	-		104.9	15.5	36	28	8	54.3
 _5485	15				1C	11/12			99.9	21.8				
			(Native) CLAY, sandy to silty, very moist, brown, stiff											
) 5480	20				1C	11/12			109.5	19.0				
			1											
 			(Native) SAND, silty to clayey with sandy clay in parts, moist to wet, brown, loose to medium dense	very			-							
5475	25				1C	15/12	-							
5470	 				1C	8/12	-							
   5465	  				1C	6/12								

	R	0	<u>ckSol</u>							BO	RIN	G: PAGE	<b>RW</b> = 2 0	<b>-5</b> F 2
CLIEN	IT <u>CH2N</u> ECT NUM	Con 1 HILL BER	sulting Group, Inc. 321.01	PROJECT NAME US 6 over Garrison Final Design     PROJECT LOCATION Lakewood, CO										
(#) 59	C DEPTH (ft) GRAPHIC	FOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	SWELL POTENTIAL (%)	SULFATE (%)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT LIMIT		FINES CONTENT (%)
			(Native) SAND, silty to clayey with sandy clay in parts, moist to wet, brown, loose to medium dense (continue	very d)										
51 ANDARD US6 OVER GARRISON FINAL DESIGN.GPJ ROCKSOL TEMPLATE.GDT 177714 0995	40		Every hard Bottom of hole at 40.0 feet.			51/12			100.8	20.3				



# **APPENDIX B**

PAVEMENT CORE LOG SUMMARY











CORE ID: RW-4 General Location: EB US 6, Station 113+45, Outside Shoulder (At Borehole RW-4)
Thickness of Asphalt Pavement: 7 ¾ inches Number of Identifiable Asphalt layers: 4 1. 1 inches (top) 2. 1 ¾ inches 3. 1 ¼ inches 4. 3 inches Condition of Asphalt: Fair to Poor Comments: Major signs of raveling and loss of fines, delamination between lower layers of pavement.





CORE ID: BR-2 General Location: EB US 6, Station 1	110+10, Lane 1 (At Borehole BR-2)
	<ul> <li>Thickness of Asphalt Pavement: 7 ½ inches</li> <li>Thickness of Concrete Pavement: 9 ½ inches</li> <li>Number of Identifiable Asphalt layers: 3 <ol> <li>2 ¾ inches (top)</li> <li>1 ½ inches</li> <li>3 ¼ inches</li> </ol> </li> <li>Condition of Asphalt: Fair to Poor</li> <li>Condition of Concrete: Good</li> <li>Comments: Loss of fines throughout asphalt, cracking in lower layers of asphalt, delamination present between lower asphalt and concrete, raveling visible in lower asphalt layer.</li> </ul>





# APPENDIX C

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

CLIENT CH2M HILL

SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

PAGE 1 OF 5

PROJECT NUM	BER 321	.01									PROJECT LO	CATION	Lakewood, C	0				
	Depth	Liauid	Plastic	Plasticity	Swell	%<#200	Clas	sification	Water	Dry	Unconfined Compressive	Sulfate	Resistivity		Chlorides	S=Stand	Proctor ard M=Mod	lified
Borehole	(ft)	Limit	Limit	Index	Potential (%)	Sieve	USCS	AASHTO	(%)	Density (pcf)	Strength (psi)	(%)	(ohm-cm)	рН	(%)	MDD	OMC	S/N
BR-1	4				1.8				21.4	104.8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
BR-1	9	32	15	17		59	CL	A-6 (7)	18.1	108.5								
BR-1	14								19.1	109.8								
BR-1	19								21.8	101.7		0.00		7.3	0.01			
BR-1	24								24.1	99.9								
BR-1	29	52	24	28		70	СН	A-7-6 (19)	25.9	97.6								
BR-1	34								31.2	91.5								
BR-1	44								25.5	100.8								
BR-1	54								31.3	91.0								
BR-1	59								21.5	109.5								
BR-1	69								33.2	86.7	43.7							
BR-1	74								21.0	102.9								
BR-1	79				2.4				20.9	107.7								
BR-2	1.4-10	40	19	21		48	SC	A-6 (6)				0.02	370 oHM-CM @	7.3	0.06			
BR-2	2								15.4	103.2								
BR-2	4				0.2				24.9	98.2								
BR-2	9								23.9	97.0								
BR-2	14								19.6	109.9								
BR-2	21								24.7	99.0								
BR-2	24								20.6	104.8								
BR-2	29								19.2	109.3								
BR-2	34	38	18	20		56	CL	A-6 (8)	22.3	104.9								
BR-2	39								31.3	90.0								
BR-2	44								31.8	90.6								
BR-2	49								30.7	91.9								
BR-2	54	40	22	18		48	SC	A-6 (5)	25.8	97.8								
BR-2	59								21.0	106.9								
BR-2	64	46	24	22		52	CL	A-7-6 (8)	24.6	96.6								
BR-2	69				1.4				26.2	93.8								
BR-2	79								22.7	100.4								

SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

PAGE 2 OF 5

CLIENT CH2M HILL

PROJECT NUMBER 321.01

PROJECT NAME US 6 over Garrison Final Design

PROJECT LOCATION Lakewood, CO

Borobolo	Depth	Liquid	Plastic	Plasticity	Swell	%<#200	Classification		Water	Dry	Unconfined Compressive	Sulfate	Resistivity	nU	Chlorides	Proctor S=Standard M=Modified		
Borenoie	(ft)	Limit	Limit	Index	(%)	Sieve	USCS	AASHTO	(%)	(pcf)	Strength (psi)	(%)	(ohm-cm)	рп	(%)	MDD	OMC	S/
BR-3	1.9-14	40	25	15		64	CL	A-6 (8)				0.00		6.9	0.01			
BR-3	2				2.7				15.3	110.3								
BR-3	4				2.7				14.6	117.2								
BR-3	9				-0.3				16.4	108.4								
BR-3	14				-0.7				28.6	94.2								
BR-3	19	32	29	3		54	ML	A-4 (0)	33.1	90.9								
BR-3	24				-0.4				27.3	97.6								
BR-3	34								25.5	102.1								
BR-3	39								29.8	93.4		0.01						
BR-3	44								28.1	98.1								
BR-3	49	59	37	22		92	MH	A-7-5 (26)	31.5			0.01						
BR-3	54								25.3	97.0	83.0							
BR-4	0-10	46	22	24		66	CL	A-7-6 (14)				0.02	575 Ohm-cm @	7.6	0.01			
BR-4	2								13.6	91.8			01.170					
BR-4	4				1.1				19.8	108.2		0.00						
BR-4	9								21.5	100.7								
BR-4	14	39	25	14		44	SC	A-6 (3)	30.1	98.0								
BR-4	19								29.0	96.9								
BR-4	24								35.6	88.1								
BR-4	29	41	23	18		47	SC	A-7-6 (5)	29.6	95.6								
BR-4	34								24.0	104.1								-
BR-4	39	26	24	2		20	SM	A-1-b (0)	19.9	110.1								-
BR-4	44								22.1	108.8								-
BR-4	49	61	29	32		98	СН	A-7-6 (37)	30.7	92.0		0.01						-
BR-4	54								22.6	103.1								
BR-4	59								18.2	108.1	65.7							-
BR-4	74								26.9	96.5								
BR-5	1.25-10	) 46	19	27		52	CL	A-7-6 (10)				0.01	360 Ohm-cm @	7.2	0.07			-
BR-5	4				0.3			. ,	26.7	97.3			20.070					-
BR-5	9								22.6	103.5								+

SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

PAGE 3 OF 5

CLIENT CH2M HILL

PROJECT NUMBER 321.01							PROJECT LOCATION Lakewood, CO											
	Depth	Liauid	Plastic	Plasticity	Swell	%<#200	Clas	sification	Water	Dry	Unconfined Compressive	Sulfate	Resistivity		Chlorides	Proctor S=Standard M=Modifie		
Borehole	(ft)	ft) Limit Limit	Index	Potential (%)	Sieve	USCS	AASHTO	(%)	Density (pcf)	Strength (psi)	(%)	(ohm-cm)	рН	(%)	MDD	OMC	S/	
BR-5	-20														0.05			
BR-5	10-20	52	22	30		60	СН	A-7-6 (16)				0.01	380 Ohm-cm @ 30.8%	7.0				
BR-5	14								20.0	107.2								
BR-5	19								17.8	111.1								
BR-5	29								17.0	113.7								
BR-5	49								26.3	97.2								
BR-5	74								26.9	96.5								
BR-6	2	NP	NP	NP		14	SM	A-1-a (0)	3.2	132.6								
BR-6	4				2.1				19.2	109.5		0.01						
BR-6	9				1.2				21.7	103.8								
BR-6	14				-0.3				24.8	99.8								
BR-6	19				-0.5				25.1	100.9								
BR-6	24				-0.3				29.9	94.5								
BR-6	29				-1.0				29.8	91.7								
BR-6	34								27.7	96.2		0.02						
BR-6	39								28.4	94.9								
BR-6	44								21.0	106.0								
BR-6	49								25.4	94.0	86.1							
BR-6	54	60	39	21		93	MH	A-7-5 (26)	34.9									
PV-1	1.25-10	) 46	22	24		48	SC	A-7-6 (8)				0.00	360 Ohm-cm @	7.7	0.06			
PV-1	2								6.0	119.9								
PV-1	4				0.0				22.4	99.5								
PV-1	9								30.1	89.5								
PV-2	0.75-5	38	16	22		87	CL	A-6 (19)				0.00						
PV-2	2								5.7	125.2								
PV-2	4				0.7				23.4	102.0								
PV-2	9								22.5	10.1								
RW-1	2				0.8				20.6	106.2								
RW-1	4								3.0	138.1								
RW-1	9				0.0				25.4	97.7								$\top$
			1	1					1			1				·		

SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

PAGE 4 OF 5

CLIENT CH2M HILL

PROJECT NUMBER 321.01								PROJECT LOCATION Lakewood, CO										
	Depth	Liquid	Plastic	Plasticity	Swell	%<#200	Clas	sification	Water	Dry	Unconfined Compressive	Sulfate	Resistivity		Chlorides	F S=Standa	Proctor ard M=Mod	dified
Borehole	(ft)	Limit	Limit	Index	Potential (%)	Sieve	USCS	AASHTO	(%)	Density (pcf)	Strength (psi)	(%)	(ohm-cm)	рН	(%)	MDD	OMC	S/N
RW-1	14				0.5				26.0	96.5		0.00						
RW-1	19								29.1	92.3								
RW-1	24				0.6				37.4	85.1								
RW-1	29								30.2	90.3								
RW-2	1-5	38	20	18		44	SC	A-6 (4)				0.01	430 Ohm-cm @ 25.8%	8.0	0.05			
RW-2	2				0.5				18.6	108.9								
RW-2	4				0.7				17.3	110.7								
RW-2	9	31	15	16		30	SC	A-2-6 (1)	11.4	111.6								
RW-2	14				0.0				14.5	111.9		0.01						
RW-2	19	47	20	27		76	CL	A-7-6 (20)	20.4	105.4								
RW-2	24								17.9	105.4								
RW-2	29				-0.2				22.9	103.2								
RW-2	39								24.6	99.7								
RW-3	0-10	43	20	23		54	CL	A-7-6 (9)				0.00	675 Ohm-cm @	7.3	0.04			
RW-3	2	NP	NP	NP		47	SM	A-4 (0)	10.1	117.8		0.00						
RW-3	4				1.4				19.7	108.8								
RW-3	9				-0.1				18.7	107.2								
RW-3	14	40	23	17	-0.4	38	SC	A-6 (2)	25.9	97.7								
RW-3	19								27.3	97.2								
RW-3	24								30.7	96.0								
RW-4	2				0.1				17.8	112.7								
RW-4	4	37	19	18		49	SC	A-6 (5)	19.6	107.5								_
RW-4	9								9.4	112.6								
RW-4	14								18.6	100.7								
RW-4	19	34	25	9		27	SM	A-2-4 (0)	16.0	110.0								
RW-4	24				-0.3				17.7	106.6		0.00						
RW-4	29								7.7	116.5								
RW-4	34				-0.2				28.4	95.8								
RW-4	39								29.1	98.4								
RW-4	44								30.8	89.5								1

### SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL TEST RESULTS

PAGE 5 OF 5

RockSol

CLIENT CH2M HILL

#### PROJECT NUMBER 321.01

PROJE	СТ	LOC	CATION	Lakewood, C	0	
	~					

Borehole	Depth	Liquid	Plastic Limit	Plasticity	Swell	%<#200 Sieve	Classification		Water	Dry	Unconfined Compressive	Sulfate	Resistivity	<b>5</b> 4	Chlorides	Proctor S=Standard M=Modified			
	(ft)	Limit		Index	(%)		USCS	AASHTO	(%)	(pcf)	Strength (psi)	(%)	(ohm-cm)	рн	(%)	MDD	OMC	S/M	
RW-4	49								31.9	90.7									
RW-5	2				3.0				27.9	96.8									
RW-5	4				0.1				19.2	104.3		0.00							
RW-5	9	36	28	8		54	ML	A-4 (3)	15.5	104.9									
RW-5	14								21.8	99.9									
RW-5	19								19.0	109.5									
RW-5	39								26.3	100.6									




































































































ſ



# R-Value Test Graph (AASHTO T-190 / Colorado Procedure CP-L 3101)

Project Number:	13.023, RockSol Consulting Group	Date:	22-Oct-13
Project Name:	US 6 and Garrison Bridge Replacement (RockSol Proj. No. 321.01)	Technician:	R. Zoetewey
Lab ID Number:	1321599	Reviewer:	E. Arndt
Sample Location:	PV-2 at 8" to 5', EB US 6 Shoulder Pavement, 740' East of Garrison		
Visual Description:	CLAY, sandy, brown		





CDOT Pavement Design Ma	<u>nual,</u>				
<u>2011. Eq. 2.1 &amp; 2.2, page</u>	<u>2-3.</u>	Test Specimen:	1	2	3
$S_1 = [(R-5)/11.29] + 3$	S <sub>1</sub> = <u>2.89</u>	Moisture Content, %:	19.5	21.3	23.5
$M_{R} = 10^{[(S_{1} + 18.72)/6.24]}$	M <sub>R</sub> = <u>2,909</u>	Expansion Pressure, psi:	0.49	0.21	0.09
$M_R$ = Resilient Modulus, psi		Dry Density, pcf:	105.2	103.0	101.8
$S_1 =$ the Soil Support Value		R-Value:	9	6	3
R = the R-Value obtained		Exudation Pressure, psi:	465	382	270

Note: The R-Value is measured; the M<sub>R</sub> is an approximation from correlation formulas.





# R-Value Test Graph (AASHTO T-190 / Colorado Procedure CP-L 3101)

Project Number:	13.023, RockSol Consulting Group	Date:	23-Oct-13
Project Name:	US 6 and Garrison Bridge Replacement (RockSol Proj. No. 321.01)	Technician:	R. Zoetewey
Lab ID Number:	1321598	Reviewer:	E. Arndt
Sample Location:	PV-1 at 15" to 10', WB US 6 Shoulder Pavement, 680' West of Garrison		
Visual Description:	CLAY, sandy, brown		



R-Value @ Exudation Pressure 300 psi: 5 Specification:

CDOT Pavement Design Manual, 2011.

Eq. 2.1 & 2.2, page 2-3.

- $S_1 = [(R-5)/11.29] + 3$  $S_1 = 3.00$  $M_{R} = 3,025$
- $M_{R} = 10^{[(S_{1} + 18.72)/6.24]}$
- $M_R$  = Resilient Modulus, psi

Test Specimen:	1	2	3
Moisture Content, %:	21.0	24.8	25.5
Expansion Pressure, psi:	1.03	0.33	0.15
Dry Density, pcf:	106.5	100.9	99.0
R-Value:	17	6	5
Exudation Pressure, psi:	601	380	258

R = the R-Value obtained

 $S_1$  = the Soil Support Value

**Note**: The R-Value is measured; the  $M_R$  is an approximation from correlation formulas.



# APPENDIX D

# EQUIVALENT SINGLE AXLE LOAD CALCULATIONS (From CH2M HILL)

# **Equivalent Single Axle Load Calculations**

PREPARED FOR:	CDOT US 6/Garrison Preliminary and Final Design
PREPARED BY:	Lynch, Zeke/DEN
DATE:	November 22, 2013
PROJECT NUMBER:	473244

The purpose of this memo is to calculate the Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs) to aid in the pavement design for the US 6 and Garrison Street project.

Traffic data was obtained from the CDOT Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) website and the US 6 & Wadsworth Boulevard Environmental Assessment.

The 18 kip ESALs were determined in accordance with the *Colorado Department of Transportation* (*CDOT*) 2014 Pavement Design Manual. The ESALs for the flexible pavement were calculated assuming a 20-year design life. The ESALs for the rigid pavement were calculated assuming a 30-year design life. Assuming an opening year of 2015, a design year of 2035 was used for the flexible pavement and a design year of 2045 was used for the rigid pavement.

The OTIS website was used to obtain the 2012 traffic counts. The 2035 traffic data were obtained from the Environmental Assessment. For the US 6 Eastbound off ramp at Garrison, the 2012 traffic counts were obtained by linear extrapolation between 2008 and 2035. As shown in Exhibit 1, the projected average daily traffic volume for the 2045 design year was determined by linear extrapolation utilizing the existing 2012 traffic counts and expected future traffic estimates for 2035.

### EXHIBIT 1

Existing and Future Daily Traffic Volumes

Location	2012 <sup>1</sup>	2035 <sup>2</sup>	2045 <sup>3</sup>
US 6 West of Garrison	100,000	139,500	154,000
US 6 East of Garrison	92,000	133,800	150,000
US 6 Eastbound off ramp at Garrison <sup>2</sup>	8,000	9,750	10,600

Source:

<sup>1</sup> CDOT Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) website

<sup>2</sup> US 6 & Wadsworth Boulevard Environmental Assessment: Final Traffic Study March 2009

<sup>3</sup> Linear extrapolation

As shown in Exhibit 2, based on Figure 1.1 in the 2014 CDOT Pavement Design Manual, the projected traffic was distributed into three vehicle classifications (passenger cars & pickup trucks, single unit trucks, and combination trucks) using 2012 CDOT vehicle classification counts.

### EXHIBIT 2

Vehicle Classification

Location	Auto <sup>1</sup>	Medium Truck <sup>2</sup>	Heavy Truck <sup>3</sup>
US 6 West of Garrison	96.9%	1.7%	1.4%
US 6 East of Garrison	96.8%	1.9%	1.3%
US 6 Eastbound off ramp at Garrison <sup>4</sup>	96.9%	1.7%	1.4%

Source: CDOT Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) website: 2012 Traffic Counts

<sup>1</sup> Passenger cars and pickup trucks

<sup>2</sup> Single unit trucks

<sup>3</sup> Combination trucks

<sup>4</sup> No vehicle classification count available – assumed same distribution as the US 6 w/o Garrison location

Since traffic volume is a major factor that degrades the pavement condition and traffic increases annually, ESALs are calculated based on the cumulative traffic expected over the life time of the pavement (20 years for flexible and 30 years for rigid). The total lifetime traffic for each of these classifications was multiplied by the CDOT equivalency factors shown in Exhibit 3.

EXHIBIT 3	
Colorado Equivalency	/ Factors

3-Bin Vehicle Classification	Flexible Pavement	Rigid Pavement
Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks	0.003	0.003
Single Unit Trucks	0.249	0.285
Combination Trucks	1.087	1.692

Source: 2014 CDOT Pavement Design Manual

Then a design lane factor of 0.30 was applied for US6 mainline locations, per Table 1.1 in the 2014 CDOT Pavement Design Manual for 6-lane facilities with 3 lanes in each direction. Although the section of US6 west of Garrison Street does have four travel lanes, the outside lane is an auxiliary lane that connects the on and off ramps between Garrison Street and Kipling Street. The majority of traffic will utilize the three through lanes instead of the four lanes present; therefore the 0.30 design lane factor was appropriate. Since all of the traffic on the eastbound off ramp will be in a single lane, the design lane factor is 1. The resulting total design ESALs for rigid and flexible pavement are shown in Exhibits 4, 5, and 6.

# EXHIBIT 4 US 6 West of Garrison Street: Design ESALs

		Total Lifetime Traffic	Equivalency Factor	Design Lane Factor	18k ESALs
	Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks	850,611,000	0.003	0.30	766,000
Flexible Pavement	Single Unit Trucks	14,923,000	0.249	0.30	1,115,000
	Combination Trucks	12,290,000	1.087	0.30	4,008,000
	TOTAL	877,824,000			5,889,000
Rigid Pavement	Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks	1,377,662,000	0.003	0.30	1,240,000
	Single Unit Trucks	24,170,000	0.285	0.30	2,066,000
	Combination Trucks	19,904,000	1.692	0.30	10,103,000
	TOTAL	1,421,736,000			13,409,000

### EXHIBIT 5

US 6 East of Garrison Street: Design ESALs

		Total Lifetime Traffic	Equivalency Factor	Design Lane Factor	18k ESALs
	Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks	800,398,000	0.003	0.30	720,000
Flexible	Single Unit Trucks	15,710,000	0.249	0.30	1,174,000
Pavement	Combination Trucks	10,749,000	1.087	0.30	3,505,000
	TOTAL	826,857,000			5,399,000
	Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks	1,309,642,000	0.003	0.30	1,179,000
Rigid Pavement	Single Unit Trucks	25,706,000	0.285	0.30	2,198,000
	Combination Trucks	17,588,000	1.692	0.30	8,928,000
	TOTAL	1,352,936,000			12,305,000

### Design **Total Lifetime** Equivalency Lane 18k ESALs Factor Traffic Factor Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks 0.003 63,154,000 1.00 189,000 Single Unit Trucks 1,108,000 276,000 0.249 1.00 Flexible Pavement **Combination Trucks** 912,000 1.087 1.00 992,000 TOTAL 65,174,000 1,457,000 Passenger Cars & Pickup Trucks 98,994,000 0.003 1.00 297,000 Single Unit Trucks 1,737,000 0.285 1.00 495,000 Rigid Pavement **Combination Trucks** 1,430,000 1.692 1.00 2,420,000 TOTAL 102,161,000 3,212,000

### **EXHIBIT 6** US 6 Eastbound Off Ramp at Garrison Street: Design ESALs



# APPENDIX E

# FLEXIBLE AND RIGID PAVEMENT CALCULATION SHEETS (DARwin/AASHTO 98)

# **Rigid Pavement Design - Based on AASHTO Supplemental Guide**

Reference: LTPP DATA ANALYSIS - Phase I: Validation of Guidelines for k-Value Selection and Concrete Pavement Performance Prediction

# **Results**

Project # 321.01 Description: US6 West of Garrison

Location: US6 and Garrison

## **Slab Thickness Design**

Pavement Type	JRCP	
18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period (million)	13.50	million
Initial Serviceability	4.5	
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	
28-day Mean PCC Modulus of Rupture	650	psi
Elastic Modulus of Slab	3,400,000	psi
Elastic Modulus of Base	25,000	psi
Base Thickness	6.0	in.
Mean Effective k-Value	64	psi/in
Reliability Level	95	%
Overall Standard Deviation	0.34	
Calculated Design Thickness	10.35	in

### **Temperature Differential**

Mean Annual Air Temperature	50.2	°F
Mean Annual Precipitation	19.5	in
Maximum Positive Temperature Differential	7.99	°F

### **Modulus of Subgrade Reaction**

Period Description Subgrade k-Value, psi

Seasonally Adjusted Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	psi/in
Modulus of Subgrade Reaction Adjusted for Rigid Layer and Fill Section	psi/in

# <u>Traffic</u>

Total Calculated Cumulative ESALs

Performance Per	iod					years
Two-Way ADT						
Number of Lane	s in Design Di	rection				
Percent of All Tr	rucks in Desig	n Lane				
Percent Trucks in	n Design Dire	ction				
Vehicle Class	Percent of	Annual	Initial	Annual	Accumulated	
	ADT	Growth	Truck Factor	Growth in	<u>18-kip ESALs</u>	
				Truck Factor	(millions)	

**Faulting** Doweled Dowel Diameter 1.5 in Drainage Coefficient 1.000.05 Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check PASS Nondoweled 1 Drainage Coefficient Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check

million

# **Rigid Pavement Design - Based on AASHTO Supplemental Guide**

Reference: LTPP DATA ANALYSIS - Phase I: Validation of Guidelines for k-Value Selection and Concrete Pavement Performance Prediction

# **Results**

Project # 321.01 Description: US6 East of Garrison

Location: US6 and Garrison

## **Slab Thickness Design**

Pavement Type	JRCP	
18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period (million)	12.40	million
Initial Serviceability	4.5	
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	
28-day Mean PCC Modulus of Rupture	650	psi
Elastic Modulus of Slab	3,400,000	psi
Elastic Modulus of Base	25,000	psi
Base Thickness	6.0	in.
Mean Effective k-Value	64	psi/in
Reliability Level	95	%
Overall Standard Deviation	0.34	
Calculated Design Thickness	10.22	in

### **Temperature Differential**

Mean Annual Wind Speed	8.8	mph
Mean Annual Air Temperature	50.2	°F
Mean Annual Precipitation	19.5	in
Maximum Positive Temperature Differential	7.93	°F

### **Modulus of Subgrade Reaction**

Period Description Subgrade k-Value, psi
Seasonally Adjusted Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	psi/in
Modulus of Subgrade Reaction Adjusted for Rigid Layer and Fill Section	psi/in

#### <u>Traffic</u>

Total Calculated Cumulative ESALs

Performance Per	iod					years
Two-Way ADT						
Number of Lane	s in Design Di	rection				
Percent of All Tr	rucks in Desig	n Lane				
Percent Trucks in	n Design Dire	ction				
Vehicle Class	Percent of	Annual	Initial	Annual	Accumulated	
	ADT	Growth	Truck Factor	Growth in	<u>18-kip ESALs</u>	
				Truck Factor	(millions)	

**Faulting** Doweled Dowel Diameter 1.5 in Drainage Coefficient 1.000.05 Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check PASS Nondoweled 1 Drainage Coefficient Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check

million

### **Rigid Pavement Design - Based on AASHTO Supplemental Guide**

Reference: LTPP DATA ANALYSIS - Phase I: Validation of Guidelines for k-Value Selection and Concrete Pavement Performance Prediction

# Results

Project # 321.01 Description: US6 Eastbound offramp at Garrison

Location: US6 and Garrison

#### **Slab Thickness Design**

Pavement Type	JRCP	
18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period (million)	3.30	million
Initial Serviceability	4.5	
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	
28-day Mean PCC Modulus of Rupture	650	psi
Elastic Modulus of Slab	3,400,000	psi
Elastic Modulus of Base	25,000	psi
Base Thickness	6.0	in.
Mean Effective k-Value	64	psi/in
Reliability Level	95	%
Overall Standard Deviation	0.34	
Calculated Design Thickness	8.44	in

#### **Temperature Differential**

Maximum Positive Temperature Differential	6.85	°F
Mean Annual Precipitation	19.5	in
Mean Annual Air Temperature	50.2	°F
Mean Annual Wind Speed	8.8	mph

#### **Modulus of Subgrade Reaction**

Period Description Subgrade k-Value, psi

Seasonally Adjusted Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	psi/in
Modulus of Subgrade Reaction Adjusted for Rigid Layer and Fill Section	psi/in

#### <u>Traffic</u>

Total Calculated Cumulative ESALs

Performance Per	iod					years
Two-Way ADT						
Number of Lane	s in Design Di	rection				
Percent of All Tr	rucks in Desig	n Lane				
Percent Trucks in	n Design Dire	ction				
Vehicle Class	Percent of	Annual	Initial	Annual	Accumulated	
	ADT	Growth	Truck Factor	Growth in	<u>18-kip ESALs</u>	
				Truck Factor	(millions)	

**Faulting** Doweled Dowel Diameter 1.5 in Drainage Coefficient 1.000.04 Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check PASS Nondoweled 1 Drainage Coefficient Average Fault for Design Years with Design Inputs in Criteria Check

million

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

# A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

Eastbound Offramp at Garrison

## **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	1,500,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	5.11 in

## **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	9.75	-	4.29
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	15.75	-	5.19

#### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

# A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 East of Garrison Street

## **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	5,400,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	6.06 in

Calculated Design Structural Number

## **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
<u>Layer</u>	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	НМА	0.44	1	11.75	-	5.17
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	17.75	-	6.07

#### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

# A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 West of Garrison Street

## **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	5,900,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	6.13 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	12	-	5.28
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	18.00	-	6.18

#### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 6 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	160,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	3.71 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	7.25	-	3.19
2	ABC	0.15	1	4	-	0.60
Total	-	-	-	11.25	-	3.79

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	(Ai)	(Mi)	(Di)(in)	(Di)(in)	(psi)	(ft)	(in)	SN (in)
Total	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 9 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	250,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1

Calculated Design Structural Number

3.96 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	7.75	-	3.41
2	ABC	0.15	1	4	-	0.60
Total	-	-	-	11.75	-	4.01

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct Coef	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
<u>Layer</u>	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	(Di)(in)	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 12 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	325,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	4.12 in

Specified Layer Design

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	8	-	3.52
2	ABC	0.15	1	4	-	0.60
Total	-	-	-	12.00	-	4.12

## Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	(Ai)	(Mi)	(Di)(in)	(Di)(in)	(psi)	(ft)	(in)	SN (in)
Total	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 18 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	485,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	4.37 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	8.75	-	3.85
2	ABC	0.15	1	4	-	0.60
Total	-	-	-	12.75	-	4.45

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	(Ai)	(Mi)	(Di)(in)	(Di)(in)	(psi)	(ft)	(in)	SN (in)
Total	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 6 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	160,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	3.71 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	6.5	-	2.86
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	12.50	-	3.76

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef.	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
<u>Layer</u>	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 9 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	250,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1

Calculated Design Structural Number

3.96 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	7	-	3.08
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	13.00	-	3.98

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct Coef	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
<u>Layer</u>	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	(Di)(in)	<u>(psi)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>(in)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 12 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	325,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	4.12 in

Specified Layer Design

		Struct Coef.	Drain Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	7.5	-	3.30
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	13.50	-	4.20

## Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	(Ai)	(Mi)	(Di)(in)	(Di)(in)	(psi)	(ft)	(in)	SN (in)
Total	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	

# DARWin Pavement Design and Analysis System

## A Proprietary AASHTOWare Computer Software Product

## Flexible Structural Design Module

US6 Temporary Pavement 18 months

#### **Flexible Structural Design**

18-kip ESALs Over Initial Performance Period	485,000
Initial Serviceability	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5
Reliability Level	95 %
Overall Standard Deviation	0.44
Roadbed Soil Resilient Modulus	3,025 psi
Stage Construction	1
Calculated Design Structural Number	4.37 in

#### **Specified Layer Design**

		Struct	Drain			
		Coef.	Coef.	Thickness	Width	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	<u>(Ai)</u>	<u>(Mi)</u>	<u>(Di)(in)</u>	<u>(ft)</u>	<u>SN (in)</u>
1	HMA	0.44	1	8	-	3.52
2	ABC	0.15	1	6	-	0.90
Total	-	-	-	14.00	-	4.42

### Layered Thickness Design

Thickness	precision			Actual	l				
		Struct	Drain Coef	Spec Thickness	Min Thickness	Elastic Modulus	Width	Calculated Thickness	Calculated
Layer	Material Description	(Ai)	(Mi)	(Di)(in)	(Di)(in)	(psi)	(ft)	(in)	SN (in)
Total	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	



# APPENDIX F

PAVEMENT DESIGN PARAMETER SHEETS (NEW CONSTRUCTION AND DETOUR) AND LTPPBIND PG BINDER SELECTION REPORTS



#### LOCATION : US 6 – East of Garrison Street

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (years)	20	30
Design Lane 18k ESAL	5,400,000	12,400,000
% Trucks	3.2	3.2
Initial Serviceability	4.5	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	2.5
% Reliability	95	95
R-Value Design	5	5
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	3,025
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	6.06	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	650
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	3,400,000
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	2.8
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	64
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	1.0
Pavement Thickness (in)	12.0	10.5
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	6
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.5 - 2.25 – 2.25	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SMA (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION : US 6 – West of Garrison Street

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (years)	20	30
Design Lane 18k ESAL	5,900,000	13,500,000
% Trucks	3.2	3.2
Initial Serviceability	4.5	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	2.5
% Reliability	95	95
R-Value Design	5	5
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	3,025
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	6.13	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	650
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	3,400,000
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	2.8
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	64
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	1.0
Pavement Thickness (in)	12.0	11.0
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	6
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.5 - 2.25 - 2.25	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SMA (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION : Eastbound US 6 Exit Ramp to Garrison Street

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (years)	20	30
Design Lane 18k ESAL	1,500,000	3,300,000
% Trucks	3.2	3.2
Initial Serviceability	4.5	4.5
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	2.5
% Reliability	95	95
R-Value Design	5	5
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	3,025
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	5.11	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	650
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	3,400,000
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	2.8
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	64
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	1.0
Pavement Thickness (in)	10.0	9.0
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	6
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.5- 2.5	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SMA (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (6 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	6	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	160,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	3.71	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	7.25	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	4	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.25	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (9 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	9	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	250,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	3.96	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	7.75	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	4	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3.5	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.25	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (12 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	12	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	325,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	4.12	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	8	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	4	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	3	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (18 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	HMA	РССР
Design Life (months)	18	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	485,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	4.37	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	8.75	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	4	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	2.75	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION : US 6 Temporary Pavement (6 months with 6 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	6	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	160,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	3.71	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	6.5	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	2.5	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION : US 6 Temporary Pavement (9 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	9	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	250,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	3.96	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	7	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (12 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	НМА	РССР
Design Life (months)	12	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	325,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	4.12	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	7.5	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	2.5	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-



#### LOCATION: US 6 Temporary Pavement (18 months with 4 inches ABC)

Design Parameter	HMA	РССР
Design Life (months)	18	-
Design Lane 18k ESAL	485,000	-
% Trucks	3.1	-
Initial Serviceability	4.5	-
Terminal Serviceability	2.5	-
% Reliability	95	-
R-Value Design	5	-
Soil Resilient Modulus (psi)	3,025	-
Structural Coefficient - HMA	0.44	-
Structural Coefficient - ABC	0.15	-
Required Structural Number (SN) (Flexible Pavement)	4.37	-
PCC Modulus of Rupture (psi)	-	-
PCC Modulus of Elasticity (psi)	-	-
PCC Load Transfer Coefficient	-	-
Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (psi/in) (Rigid Pavement)	-	-
Drainage Coefficient	1.0	-
Pavement Thickness (in)	8	-
Overlay Thickness (in)	-	-
Milling Thickness (in)	-	-
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	6	-
Bottom Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Bottom Lift Thickness (in)	3	-
Intermediate Lift Grading/Binder	S(100) PG 64-22	-
Intermediate Lift(s) Thickness (in)	3	-
Top Lift Grading/Binder	SX (100) PG 76-28	-
Top Lift Thickness (in)	2	-

#### BindSelect-PG (US6 - Intermediate and Base Lifts).txt

PG Binder Selection Report - Intermediate and Lower Layers LTPPBind V3.0 PG Binder Selection Report (Date: 4/4/2014)

Parameter Station ID Elevation, m Degree-Days >10 C Low Air Temperature, C Low Air Temp. Std Dev	A=1 km CO4762 5239 2708 -23.9 3.6	B=3 km CO8995 5077 2988 -24.5 4.1
Input Data		
Latitude, Degree Yearly Degree-Days>10C Lowest Yearly Air Temp. Low Temp. Std. Dev., De Base HT PG	, Deg. C g. C	39.74 2848 -24.2 3.9 58
Traffic Adjustments for	НТ	
Desired Reliability, Pe	rcent	98

Traffic Loading, Million ESAL3 to 10 M. ESALTraffic SpeedFastHigh Temp. Adjustment7.1

PG Temperature	HIGH	LOW
PG Temp. at 50% Reliability	55.3	-16.5
PG Temp. at Desired Reliability	57.6	-23.8
Adjustments for Traffic	7.1	
Adjustments for Depth	-6.4	3.8
Adjusted PG Temperature	58.3	-20.0
Selected PG Binder Grade	64	-22

PG Binder Selection Report - Top Lift

LTPPBind V3.0 PG Binder Selection Report (Date: 4/4/2014 )

Parameter	A=1 km	B=3 km
Station ID	CO4762	CO8995
Elevation, m	5239	5077
Degree-Days >10 C	2708	2988
Low Air Temperature, C	-23.9	-24.5
Low Air Temp. Std Dev	3.6	4.1

#### Input Data

Latitude, Degree	39.74
Yearly Degree-Days>10C	2848
Lowest Yearly Air Temp., Deg. C	-24.2
Low Temp. Std. Dev., Deg. C	3.9
Base HT PG	58

Traffic Adjustments for HT

Desired Reliability, Percent Traffic Loading, Million ESAL	98 3 to 10 M. ESAL
Traffic Speed	Fast
High Temp. Adjustment	7.1

PG Temperature	HIGH	LOW
PG Temp. at 50% Reliability	55.3	-16.5
PG Temp. at Desired Reliability	57.6	-23.8
Adjustments for Traffic	7.1	
Adjustments for Depth	0.0	0.0
Adjusted PG Temperature	64.7	-23.8
Selected PG Binder Grade	70	-28

#### BindSelect-PG(US6 Ramp- Top Lift).txt

PG Binder Selection Report US6 & Garrison Ramps - Top Lift LTPPBind V3.0 PG Binder Selection Report (Date: 4/4/2014 )

Parameter	A=1 km	B=3 km
Station ID	CO4762	C08995
Elevation, m	5239	5077
Degree-Days >10 C	2708	2988
Low Air Temperature, C	-23.9	-24.5
Low Air Temp. Std Dev	3.6	4.1
Input Data		

Latitude, Degree	39.74
Yearly Degree-Days>10C	2848
Lowest Yearly Air Temp., Deg. C	-24.2
Low Temp. Std. Dev., Deg. C	3.9
Base HT PG	58

Traffic Adjustments for HT Desired Reliability Percent

Desired Reliability, Percent	98
Traffic Loading, Million ESAL	Up to 3 M. ESAL
Traffic Speed	slow
High Temp. Adjustment	2.7

PG Temperature	HIGH	LOW
PG Temp. at 50% Reliability	55.3	-16.5
PG Temp. at Desired Reliability	57.6	-23.8
Adjustments for Traffic	2.7	
Adjustments for Depth	0.0	0.0
Adjusted PG Temperature	60.3	-23.8
Selected PG Binder Grade	64	-28

To minimize number of mix designs recommend PG 76-28 for Top Lift at Ramp

BindSelect-PG (US6 Ramp - Intermediate and Base Lifts).txt PG Binder Selection Report - US6 & Garrison Ramps ( Intermediate and Base Lifts) LTPPBind V3.0 PG Binder Selection Report (Date: 4/4/2014 )

Parameter A Station ID C Elevation, m 5 Degree-Days >10 C 2 Low Air Temperature, C - Low Air Temp. Std Dev 3	=1 km 04762 239 708 23.9 .6	B=3 km CO8995 5077 2988 -24.5 4.1	
Input Data			
Latitude, Degree Yearly Degree-Days>10C Lowest Yearly Air Temp., Low Temp. Std. Dev., Deg. Base HT PG	Deg. C C	39.74 2848 -24.2 3.9 58	
Traffic Adjustments for H	т		
Desired Reliability, Perc Traffic Loading, Million Traffic Speed High Temp. Adjustment	ent ESAL	98 Up to 3 Slow 2.7	M. ESAL
PG Temperature PG Temp. at 50% Reliabil PG Temp. at Desired Relia Adjustments for Traffic	ity bility	HIGH 55.3 57.6	LOW -16.5 -23.8
Adjustments for Depth Adjusted PG Temperature Selected PG Binder Grade		-6.4 53.9 58	3.8 -20.0 -22

To minimize number of mix designs recommend PG 64-22 for Intermediate and Base Lifts at Ramp